# The Short- and Long-Term Career Effects of Graduating in a Recession<sup>†</sup>

# By Philip Oreopoulos, Till von Wachter, and Andrew Heisz\*

This paper analyzes the magnitude and sources of long-term earnings declines associated with graduating from college during a recession. Using a large longitudinal university-employer-employee dataset, we find that the cost of recessions for new graduates is substantial and unequal. Unlucky graduates suffer persistent earnings declines lasting ten years. They start to work for lower paying employers, and then partly recover through a gradual process of mobility toward better firms. We document that more advantaged graduates suffer less from graduating in recessions because they switch to better firms quickly, while earnings of less advantaged graduates can be permanently affected by cyclical downgrading. (JEL E32, I23, J22, J23, J31)

Increasing evidence suggests that adverse initial labor market conditions can have substantial long-term effects on the earnings of college graduates.<sup>1</sup> This suggests that some cohorts may earn substantially lower returns on their investment into higher education than others.<sup>2</sup> College graduates from less prestigious colleges or majors, who might have received less training or might be of lower ability, are

<sup>†</sup> To comment on this article in the online discussion forum, or to view additional materials, visit the article page at http://dx.doi.org/10.1257/app.4.1.1.

<sup>\*</sup>Oreopoulos: University of Toronto, 150 St. George St. Suite 311, Toronto, Ontario M5S 3G6, Canada, and National Bureau of Economic Research (e-mail: philip.oreopoulos@utoronto.ca); von Wachter: Columbia University, 420 West 118th Street 1022 IAB, New York, NY 10025, National Bureau of Economic Research, Center for Economic Policy Research and IZA (e-mail: vw2112@columbia.edu); Heisz: Statistics Canada, 150 Tunney's Pasture Driveway, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0T6, Canada (e-mail: Andrew.Heisz@statcan.gc.ca). This paper is a substantially revised version of NBER Working Paper 12159 and IZA Discussion Paper 3578, whose supplementary appendix contains many more results. We would like to thank Marianne Bertrand, David Card, Ken Chay, Janet Currie, Pierre-André Chiappori, Damon Clark, John DiNardo, Henry Farber, David Figlio, Lisa Kahn, Larry Katz, David Lee, Justin McCrary, Bentley McLeod, Paul Oyer, Daniel Parent, Mike Riordan, Eric Verhoogen, two anonymous referees, and participants at the NBER Summer Institute 2005, and at seminars at the University of California-Berkeley, Cornell University, University of Maryland, University of Michigan, University of Florida, University of British Columbia, University of Maryland, University of Michigan, University of Florida, University of Florida, University of Florida, University, the Bank of Italy, Tor' Vergata, and the NBER Conference on Higher Education 2007 for helpful comments. We also thank Mai Chi Dao and Florian Hoffman for helpful research assistance. All remaining errors are our own.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See, for example, Oyer (2006, 2008) for an analysis of MBA college graduates and PhD economists; Kahn (2010) for an analysis of college graduates in the 1982 recession; and Genda, Kondo, and Ohta (2010) for a comparison of US and Japanese college graduates. Ellwood (1982); Beaudry and DiNardo (1991); Baker, Gibbs, and Holmstrom (1994); Devereux (2003); and Schmieder and von Wachter (2010), among others, also find persistent effects of cyclical fluctuations for noncollege workers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Since the literature suggests that high school graduates suffer shorter lived (albeit initially larger) losses (Blanchflower and Oswald 1994; Genda, Kondo, and Ohta 2010), the overall return to college relative to a high school degree is also likely to fall in recessions.

particularly at risk from early career interruptions. Yet, the overall magnitude and heterogeneity of these persistent losses is currently unknown, partly because of a lack of longitudinal data on a sufficient number of cohorts and detailed information on educational background. Similarly, little is known about the sources of persistent reductions in earnings. Yet, an understanding of the mechanisms leading to persistent effects of initial labor market conditions is a key step in devising policy options to assist young workers and in helping to prevent prolonged stagnation in the earnings and careers of "unlucky" cohorts.

The long-term impact from graduating in recessions can depend on how recessions affect the quality and availability of initial job opportunities, wage adjustments within firms, knowledge about workers' productivity by potential employers, and human capital accumulation. A long literature has documented the fact that the quality of jobs tends to decline in recessions (e.g., Reder 1955, Okun 1973, McLaughlin and Bils 2001). Finding jobs that offer significant opportunities for promotion and training are likely more difficult under these conditions—especially for those less skilled—and recovering from these initial shocks may depend on mobility between firms. This would be in line with career development models such as those presented by Topel and Ward (1992) and Gibbons et al. (2005). However, other models, based on human capital accumulation, job assignment, or persistent wage contracts suggest that recovery may occur within firms, and hence that obtaining a stable job is important.

In this study, we examine a unique Canadian administrative employer-employeematched dataset of over 20 years of male college graduates in an effort to understand how short-term labor market conditions affect long-term earnings within and across firms. College graduates are ideal subjects to study the effects of initial labor market shocks because at graduation the vast majority enter the labor market and begin to search for full-time work. Another advantage of studying college graduates is that based on information on college type, program of study, and length of study, we can categorize our sample into more and less advantaged groups based on predicted labor market success. This information is typically not available for other samples of workers or from other data sources.

This is the first study to document persistent earnings losses for a large number of representative cohorts of male college graduates. The resulting amount of variation allows us to study whether persistent losses arise even from temporary adverse labor market conditions.<sup>3</sup> Our administrative data also allows us to provide an in-depth investigation of the mechanism underlying the observed earnings losses. Our analysis of reallocation *between* firms complements studies showing persistent effects for employment spells *within* firms (e.g., Beaudry and DiNardo 1991, McDonald and Worswick 1999, Grant 2003, and Schmieder and von Wachter 2010). Our analysis also provides direct evidence concerning the role of job transitions to better firms in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Several previous studies on the persistent effects of aggregate labor market conditions have used the Panel Study of Income Dynamics (Devereux 2003) and the National Longitudinal Studies of Youth (Gardecki and Neumark 1998, Kahn 2010). While providing detailed survey information on careers and worker demographics, the small samples of these datasets do not allow controlling for cohort, state, and year effects in a flexible way, controlling for persistent correlated labor market conditions or studying other career outcomes than wages with a sufficient degree of precision. Often by necessity, the range of cohorts studied is limited.

young workers' careers, complementing existing studies documenting the correlation of job mobility and earnings (e.g., Topel and Ward 1992). Finally, this is the first study to analyze the differential effect of graduating in a recession for more and less advantaged college graduates.

Our findings paint an intricate picture of the effect of initial labor market conditions for college graduates in which very short-lived adverse labor market conditions have long-term effects that vary dramatically across the skill distribution. A typical recession—a rise in unemployment rates by 5 percentage points in our context—implies an initial loss in earnings of about 9 percent that halves within 5 years, and finally fades to 0 by 10 years. For this time period, these reductions add up to a loss of about 5 percent of cumulated earnings.

This result is robust across specifications and arises mainly from the first unemployment rate individuals face after graduating—net of correlation with labor market shocks occurring later in workers' careers—and it does not seem to be due to selective employment and graduation decisions. The persistent effects from adverse labor market conditions are much larger for individuals in the first year of their careers than for individuals with just a few years of experience. We also find that graduates with the lowest predicted earnings based on college and major (our measure of skill) suffer larger and much more persistent earnings losses than those at the top. The least advantaged graduates suffer a loss of 8 percent of cumulative earnings in their first 10 years—almost double those of the median graduate and more than four times as much as those of the top graduate.

Initial firm placement plays a significant role in determining long-term labor market success. Along with lower earnings, negative labor market shocks at labor market entry lead to more workers taking jobs at poorer quality firms (with firm quality measured in terms of firm size and average earnings among employees). The ensuing earnings adjustment process is characterized initially by increased mobility across employers and industries and improvements in the characteristics of the average employer. Decomposing earnings losses into their sources, we find that lasting reductions in the quality of employers can explain up to 40 to 50 percent of persistent earnings losses. For college graduates, both mobility toward better firms and recovery within firms are important margins of adjustment to adverse labor market conditions. These patterns also varied by worker type. Graduates at the top of the wage distribution catch up, on average, within two to four years, mostly by moving to better firms. Average graduates recover within ten years, partly by switching jobs and partly within firms. Workers with low predicted earnings are permanently downranked to firms paying lower wages and consequently experience lasting reductions in earnings after a bad start.

Our findings imply that recessions lead to high and unequal losses in cumulated earnings for unlucky college graduates. These losses imply substantial reductions in the financial returns on the investment into higher education, particularly for graduates from schools and majors in which graduates tend to be paid less. Our results also highlight the important role of employer quality—and hence initial job placement and ensuing job mobility for the careers of young college graduates. We are the first to document that this leads to persistent cyclical downgrading for college graduates and that less advantaged college graduates permanently lose access to better employers. Our results also have important implications for recent strands of literature in macroeconomics. We provide direct evidence that wages of labor market entrants respond more to aggregate fluctuations than do wages of already employed workers, even accounting for changes in employer quality. Our findings also offer direct evidence in favor of gradual sorting processes emphasized by models of reallocation between sectors (e.g., Krause and Lubik 2006, Moscarini and Vella 2008). Yet, our results also imply that even temporary shocks can lead to persistent changes in the allocation of workers. Finally, our study provides direct estimates of the unequal and large costs of recessions for new college graduates—costs that are larger than typical existing model-based estimates (e.g., Barlevy 2005).

# I. Alternative Explanations for Persistence of Initial Labor Market Conditions

Our analysis of persistence of initial labor market conditions for college graduates was informed by existing theories of career development. Since these models are not typically used to explain persistence, we will briefly discuss their implications and outline alternative hypotheses. While several models have the capacity to predict differential long-term effects of only temporary labor market conditions by skill group, fewer models are able to rationalize very persistent declines in earnings from only short-term adverse labor market conditions.

A first category of models suggests that job search is an integral part of young workers' careers (e.g., Topel and Ward 1992, Manning 2005). Search theory predicts that even a temporary worsening of the wage offer distribution leads workers entering the labor market in a recession to catch up by undertaking a lengthy search process for higher paying jobs. An increasing empirical literature suggests that the cost of job searches increases with age (for example, as a result of family mobility constraints), and that higher skilled young workers are more likely to move between regions or industries in response to adverse labor market shocks.<sup>4</sup> Thus, lower skilled workers may search less intensely (or receive fewer new job opportunities) after obtaining lower quality jobs in recessions. If they accumulate some employer-specific skill on the job, they may also have a harder time moving the longer they stay with their initial employer.<sup>5</sup>

An alternative, yet not mutually exclusive, class of models explains job mobility in early careers by a process of assortative matching as employers gradually learn about worker quality (e.g., Gibbons et al. 2005). The same class of models has been extended to differential speed of learning by skill (e.g., Lange 2007). In the present context, temporary labor market conditions could lead to lasting declines in earnings if employers learn only gradually about the quality of a graduating cohort after an initial down ranking to lower paying jobs. Faster learning about higher skilled workers could explain differential speeds of recovery.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Among others, see Blau and Robins (1990), Bloemen (2005), Wozniak (2006) and Neal (1999). Mortensen (1986), Pissarides (2000), and Shimer (2004) discuss the theoretical implications of differential search intensities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>In addition to differences in workers' search behaviors, in the presence of rents or complementarities, firms have incentives to select the most able workers for employment and to reduce the employment of less able workers. A cyclical process of adjustment in hiring and promotion standards has often been noted (e.g., Reder 1955). Rents can arise due to rigid pay scales, as in Hall (1974), or unions, as in McDonald and Solow (1985).

Evidence suggests that high-wage jobs are concentrated in particular firms and sectors, and that the supply of high-wage jobs appears to be procyclical.<sup>6</sup> Both job search and employer learning explain how a protracted recovery process could follow after graduates are initially downgraded to worse employers. These models can also rationalize different rates of recovery by skill background. Yet, neither model is geared to explain how recovery occurs on the job or how permanent effects of temporary labor market conditions can arise.

Another class of models links career progression to human capital accumulation at either the firm or the industry level. Since students graduating in a recession experience a prolonged period of job and industry mobility, they will have spent less time, on average, accumulating firm- or industry-specific skills. Thus, if there is a concave profile of learning, human capital accumulation can explain recovery within firms as unlucky graduates catch up with luckier peers. An extension of these models, based on the assumption that recessions are associated with a lower supply of jobs leading to a career track or of jobs offering opportunities for skill accumulation, can also explain permanent effects resulting from temporary labor market conditions (Gibbons and Waldman 2006).<sup>7</sup> A similar observable pattern can be generated by models of long-term wage contracting with renegotiation. Initial labor market conditions set the starting value of the wage, and recovery occurs as the wage is renegotiated based on better outside labor market conditions.<sup>8</sup> Persistence arises if renegotiation is not perfect; permanent effects could occur in the absence of renegotiation.

The list of models given here is not meant to be exhaustive, but has been presented to demonstrate the potential and difficulties in explaining persistent effects of initial labor market conditions. To give an example of a model that captures one set of minimum features needed to yield differential predictions for the persistent effects of temporary labor market conditions by skill group, we developed a search model with high- and low-ability workers (see Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz 2008). In this model, those of high ability receive better or more frequent job offers, and mobility costs depend on age or job tenure.<sup>9</sup> After a one-period decline in the wage offer distribution (perhaps from an economic downturn), highskilled workers recover more quickly by moving between jobs. The smaller search intensity of low-skilled workers implies slower mobility to high-wage firms and a more important role of accumulation of firm-specific capital. Because search costs increase with age, some lower skilled workers stop searching before they have found

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Firms and industries pay wage premiums that cannot easily be rationalized by worker characteristics (e.g., Krueger and Summers 1988; Abowd, Creecy, and Kramarz 2002). It is also well known that sectors paying higher wages have more pro-cyclical job creation, partly because of more volatile demand for their products (Okun 1973, McLaughlin and Bils 2001, Aaronson and Christopher 2004). There appears to be cyclical downgrading of young and lower skilled workers (e.g., Reynolds 1951; Reder 1955; Cutler and Katz 1991; and Hines, Hoynes, and Krueger 2002). Less able workers tend to flow to larger firms and high wage sectors in booms (e.g., Vroman 1977, Albaek and Sorensen 1998, Devereux 2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>The more traditional explanation of wage growth with experience—human capital accumulation on-the-job is unlikely to explain persistent losses from just temporary labor market conditions without a persistent reduction in time worked.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>E.g., Harris and Holmstrom (1982), MacLeod and Malcomson (1993), Prendergast (1999), Gibbons and Waldman, (2006). For empirical papers on within-firm wage mobility, see Beaudry and DiNardo (1991); Baker, Gibbs, and Holmstrom (1994), and Schmieder and von Wachter (2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>To obtain a sense of the age-profile of mobility costs in our sample, we used the information from the Canadian census to show that the rate of marriage and homeownership rises rapidly after college graduation as workers age.

a higher-paying job. An important insight of the model is that initial conditions lead to *permanent* earnings differences only if coupled with search frictions that intensify with age. Without a distinction between "newly minted" workers and workers who appear to have settled, nothing would prevent unlucky workers from continuing to seek better jobs, and thereby eventually recover from beginning to work in a depressed labor market.

To assess the magnitudes of alternative channels, we simulated the model for standard parameter values (Sensitivity Appendix VI of our longer working paper, Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz (2008)). The results suggested that a standard job search model could explain persistence for high-skilled workers who recover quickly from initial labor market conditions. Yet, for reasonable job offer arrival rates, search frictions alone cannot explain the higher degree of persistence of earnings losses for the average college graduate. Age- or tenure-related mobility costs are needed to explain slower recovery of firm quality for these workers. For the least advantaged workers, job mobility is slow enough that age-related costs become sufficiently large before the initial shock has dissipated, leading to a lasting effect on firm quality and earnings.

The simulation yielded two additional useful results that helped us better understand the model's predictions. First, the larger the initial shock, the more likely it is that the age-related slowdown in search will occur before the initial effect has dissipated, especially for lower skilled college graduates. Thus, larger recessions exhibit more lasting increases in inequality and mismatch, something borne out in our empirical analysis. Second, the persistence due to age-related costs increases with the dispersion of firm quality (i.e., a dispersion in firms' average wages). Thus, the higher the pre-existing inequality in earnings in the labor market, the bigger the persistent rise in inequality due to initial shocks predicted by the model.

#### II. Empirical Strategy and Matched Data

Our main empirical strategy for estimating the long-term effects of initial labor market conditions was to exploit variation in unemployment rates at graduation at the national and provincial levels in Canada over 20 years. We began by estimating the effect on earnings of the unemployment rate at graduation, and, in the process, we verified the appropriateness of our empirical specification. We then replicated our analysis by subgroups and for a range of alternative outcomes.

Since our main independent variable—the rate of unemployment—varied across provinces and across cohorts, we collapsed the individual-level data at the level of graduation cohort (*c*), initial region of residence (*r*), and calendar year (*t*), and worked only with the cell means  $\overline{y}_{crt}$  of the log of annual earnings and other variables (weighted by the corresponding cell sizes). The cell-level model on which most of the estimates in this analysis were based is

(1) 
$$\overline{y}_{crt} = \alpha + \beta_e U R_{cr0} + \phi_t + \theta_r + \gamma_e + \chi_c + u_{crt},$$

where  $\theta_r$ ,  $\chi_c$ ,  $\gamma_e$ , and  $\phi_t$  represent unrestricted fixed effects for first region of residence, year of graduation, year of potential labor market experience (*e*), and calendar year.

The unemployment rate is measured at the time of graduation and the region of first residence  $(UR_{cr0})$ . The main coefficients of interest  $\beta_e$  on the initial unemployment rates were allowed to vary with potential experience. Given the presence of experience effects, region effects, and cohort effects, the coefficients measure *changes* in experience profiles in earnings and other outcomes resulting from province-cohort-specific variation in unemployment rates.<sup>10</sup> To account for group-specific error components, we clustered standard errors at the cohort-region level. In the figures, we show separate coefficients for the first ten years of potential experience. For ease of exposition, in our tables, we combined the experience years into three group-level dummies and interacted them with the initial unemployment rate.

We interpret the variation in  $UR_{cr0}$  to arise from changes in aggregate labor demand that are uncorrelated with characteristics of different graduation cohorts. To help verify that we picked up effects driven by demand conditions, and not influences from cohort-specific changes in the labor supply of young workers, we also used the provincial unemployment rate for all workers as a measure of initial labor market shock. Differences between graduation cohorts at the national level were taken out by cohort-fixed effects. Below and in the supplementary appendix in our longer working paper version, Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz (2008), we address other potential biases. We conducted multiple specification and robustness checks to show that our results were unaffected by selective changes in the timing of college graduation, by selective labor force participation, by our functional form, or by our measure of labor market conditions.

*Dynamic Effects.*—Since the current state of regional labor markets continues to influence earnings of more experienced workers as well (e.g., Blanchflower and Oswald 1994), our basic estimate of the effect of the first unemployment rate exposure yielded the long-term effect of the first unemployment rate plus the weighted sum of the effect of unemployment rates a worker faced during his career. This is a parameter of interest that captures the average change in earnings from graduating in a recession, given *the regular evolution of the regional unemployment rate faced afterwards*.<sup>11</sup> We were also interested in isolating the effect of labor market conditions at entry, *net* of subsequent effects on earnings from exposure to a possibly prolonged recession. The isolation of these effects helped distinguish the impact of labor market conditions at entry (at the time when all cohorts search for work) from

<sup>10</sup> As is well known, cohort effects, potential experience effects, and year effects cannot be identified separately without an additional restriction on cohort effects. Since we are mainly interested in experience effects and in how they change over the business cycle, we simply drop one additional cohort effect from the regression. We could have chosen to restrict cohort effects to sum to zero (as suggested by Deaton 1997). This alternative does not alter our estimates of the experience profile.

<sup>11</sup>Denote the effect on earnings in experience year *e* from the unemployment rate in the labor market during experience year *d* (where  $e \ge d$ ) by  $\beta_{e,d}$ . Then, with the notation of Equation 2, we get that coefficient estimates from equation (1) of the effect of the initial unemployment rates in experience year *e* can be written as

$$p \lim \hat{\beta}_{e,0} = \beta_{e,0} + \sum_{d=1}^{e} \beta_{e,d} \frac{\operatorname{cov}(UR_{cr0}, UR_{crd})}{\operatorname{var}(UR_{cr0})}.$$

This is the sum of the direct effect of the initial unemployment rate in experience year  $e(\beta_{e,0})$ , plus the sum of the persistent effects of all other unemployment rate conditions in experience year *e* the worker faced since graduation  $(\beta_{e,d})$ , to the extent they are correlated with the initial unemployment rate.

the impact of labor market conditions when working or entering a new firm in midcareer (as stressed, for example, by Beaudry and DiNardo 1991). This approach also allowed us to assess whether the persistent effects of aggregate unemployment rates at time of entry differed from those experienced by more mature workers.

In Section III, we explore this issue by examining whether the effect of the early unemployment rate would remain stable even when we included the cohort's current unemployment rate or when we controlled for current region-year fixed effects. To do so, we worked with a version of the data that was collapsed at the level of graduation cohort, initial region of residence, and calendar year, as well as region of current residence. We then allowed for persistent effects of the provincial unemployment rate a worker was exposed to at each experience year (e) in the relevant region  $(r_e)$ , denoted by  $UR_{cr_e e}$ . Due to strong correlation in aggregate unemployment rates across years, an unrestricted model allowing for effects from unemployment conditions each year since graduation generated imprecise estimates. Our preferred specification thus used a more restricted model in which we grouped the effects of unemployment over two consecutive experience years. Defining the effect on earnings in experience year e from the unemployment rate at experience year 0-1 $(2-3, 4-5, 6-7, \dots)$  by  $\beta_{e,01}(\beta_{e,23}, \beta_{e,45}, \beta_{e,67}, \dots)$ , and dropping the region subscripts on the unemployment rates for simplicity, the dynamic model whose results were reported in the paper can be written succinctly as

(2) 
$$\log \overline{w}_{crt} = \phi_t + \theta_r + \chi_c + \gamma_e + \beta_{e,01} \overline{UR}_{01} + \beta_{e,23} \overline{UR}_{23} + \cdot \cdot + \beta_{e,45} \overline{UR}_{45} + \cdots + u_{crt},$$

where  $\overline{UR}_{01} \equiv (UR_{cr0} + UR_{cr_11})/2$ ,  $\overline{UR}_{23} \equiv (UR_{cr_22} + UR_{cr_33})/2$ , etc., and we imposed the restriction  $\beta_{e,d} = 0 \forall d < e$ . The regression estimated the persistent effect of the transitory component of each aggregate unemployment condition in a given pair of experience years, net of its correlation with other unemployment rates affecting the worker in adjacent experience years. For more detail, see Appendix III in the supplementary appendix in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz (2008).

*Canadian Administrative Data.*—Our results are based on a unique match between three large administrative datasets collected and compiled within Statistics Canada (this match is described in detail in the supplementary appendix in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz (2008)). The data combined administrative information on about 70 percent of Canadian university students and graduates from 1976 to 1995 with longitudinal individual income tax records and firms' payroll information covering the years 1982–1999.<sup>12</sup> The data contains exceptional information about individual students' courses of study (such as type of degree, major and date of graduation), as well as detailed career information (e.g., annual earnings, province of residence

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>The term "college" is something of a misnomer in Canada because it is usually used to refer to one- or twoyear, community-level, postsecondary institutions, rather than degree-granting universities. However, in keeping with the terminology used most often, we will refer to Canadian universities as colleges. Since we do not observe outcomes before 1982, cohorts graduating from 1976 to 1981 contribute to our estimates with later experience years. Our results are robust to excluding these cohorts.

and receipt of unemployment benefits) and information about employers. To analyze the role of employer characteristics, we exploited the panel nature of our firm data and calculated average firm size, average median wage, and total payroll at the firm level, with year fixed effects taken out. All firm characteristics in our empirical analysis referred to *permanent* attributes so that these characteristics remained unchanged across the worker panel (i.e., an individual's firm characteristics could change only if he moved to a different employer).<sup>13</sup>

To generate a uniform sample with a common definition of labor market entry, we focused on the effect of recessions at the end of the *first* exit from college and excluded workers obtaining higher degrees from our sample.<sup>14</sup> As shown in table A1 in the supplementary appendix of Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz (2008), even within this relatively homogeneous sample, there was a high dropout rate and high variance in college duration. To focus on students whose labor market conditions at graduation were difficult to predict at the time of entering college, and to reduce possible measurement error, our main sample excluded early college dropouts and concentrated on a more homogenous group of workers with better-measured graduation dates. To do so, we calculated the difference between actual and predicted graduation year (based on length of program in first or second year) and kept only workers with nonnegative differences (we refer to this as the graduate sample). The right columns of table A1 in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz (2008) show characteristics for that sample. Within the sample of workers on or above grade, 89 percent graduated and average duration of college was about 4 years.

To assign unemployment rates at the time of graduation, we had to choose a relevant province of residence (which would also constitute the relevant labor market). After careful analysis, we settled on the province of first residence after graduation as the relevant labor market for young college graduates. We imposed some additional basic sample restrictions and limited the degree of missing observations on earnings. In particular, in order to remove individuals who stopped being recorded annually, we dropped workers who permanently stopped filing taxes because they left the country, obtained a new personal identification number, entered the underground economy, or because their file was simply miscoded along the way. None of these choices or restrictions affected our results.

Figure A1 in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz (2008) shows that the general experience profiles in annual earnings and job mobility for our baseline Canadian data were similar to those for the United States. In addition, we documented a strong experience gradient in average size and average wages paid by employers: from years 1–10, average firm size and average firm wage increased by 34 percent and 24 percent, respectively. The longer male Canadian graduates progress through the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>We thereby conform to the vast majority of the literature, which focuses on permanent firm characteristics. We experimented with alternative measures of firm characteristics, such as firm growth rates, and found other differences to change little over the cycle. The information is at the firm level. For simplicity, we use the terms "firm," "company," and "employer" interchangeably.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Since we found that early recessions do not affect the probability of obtaining a graduate degree, this exclusion did not affect our results. Similarly, the probability of being in the graduate sample as defined below is not affected by graduating in a recession. We have experimented with other definitions of the relevant date of labor market entry (such as last degree or last degree of continuous education) and have seen little effect on the results. In the sensitivity analysis, we also show results using a sample that includes workers obtaining a postgraduate degree.



FIGURE 1A. MATURE AND ENTRY LEVEL EARNINGS AND EXPERIENCE PROFILES BY GRADUATION YEAR

*Notes:* The figure plots average log annual earnings profiles by year of degree completion for our baseline sample (all males in our administrative data that began a full-time undergraduate program at a post-secondary school institution in Canada between the ages of 17 and 20 from 1976–1995). See text and Data Appendix for more details.

labor market, the more they tend to move to firms that, on average, pay more and are larger.<sup>15</sup> Our main analysis measures deviation from these average experience profiles due to unemployment conditions at college graduation.

Canada experienced two major recessions in the early 1980s and 1990s, which increased young workers' unemployment rates for certain years by more than 7 percentage points. We used this variation for our national specification. The evolution of the unemployment rate at the provincial level displayed a high degree of regional heterogeneity. During this period, an increase of unemployment rates of 5 percentage points (or about two standard deviations) described a typical recession.<sup>16</sup>

#### III. The Persistent Effect of Initial Labor Market Conditions on Earnings

The evolution of annual earnings in our baseline sample displayed clear differences in initial level and ensuing growth of earnings by year of college graduation. This is shown in Figure 1A, which plots mean earnings by experience and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>The first years of the careers of young male Canadian college graduates are characterized by steep wage growth (also documented for the United States by Murphy and Welch 1990), frequent job changes (Topel and Ward 1992), initially unstable labor force attachment (Gardecki and Neumark 1998, Ryan 2001), some interregional mobility (Wozniak 2006), and frequent industry changes (McCall 1990, Neal 1995, Parent 2000). Figure A1 (panel C) and Table A5 in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz (2008) suggest that average firm size tends to grow with labor market experience for college graduates in the United States, too.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> If we regress regional unemployment rates on year and region fixed effects, the  $R^2$  is 0.9, which is a common finding in the United States and other countries. The remaining variation in regional unemployment rates allows us to obtain precise estimates of the effect of province recession shocks and to include further interaction terms, such as region-specific year effects. We should stress that our results are robust when excluding large Canadian provinces, such as Ontario or Quebec.



FIGURE 1B. EARNINGS BY EXPERIENCE YEAR FOR COHORTS ENTERING LABOR MARKET 1978–1993

*Notes:* The figure is constructed by first regressing log earnings from the baseline sample on fixed effects for year of college completion. The figure plots the average residuals from this regression for different years of experience. The figure also shows the national 15 to 24 year-old unemployment rate matched to the year of college completion (these values are from Statistics Canada). See text for more details.

year of graduation at the national level, together with the individual's entry wage at experience one (their first full year of work) and the average wage for "mature" workers (workers with five to ten years of experience). One can clearly see differences in starting wages across graduation cohorts leading to differences in average cohort earnings. The figure also shows a clear pattern of convergence. Initial differences in starting conditions appear to fade over time. Cohort effects appear to have a time-varying component, or, as noted by Beaudry and Green (2000), experience profiles vary across cohorts.

There is a strong correlation between starting wages and initial unemployment rate conditions, which persists into higher experience years and slowly fades over time. This is shown in Figure 1B, which graphs national unemployment rates for young workers and wages at different years of experience by graduation cohort (both expressed as deviations from their means across cohorts). The correlations in the figure strongly suggest that part of the initial but fading earnings differences in Figure 1A are driven by variation in initial labor market conditions.

Table 1 presents analogous results to this figure and other figures in this article, along with standard errors. Similar to the case of Figure 1B, columns 1 and 2 in panel A display estimated effects of the unemployment rate at time of graduation on annual earnings for different years of experience in the work force using national unemployment variation. These estimates control for the year in which earnings are observed, average experience effects across cohorts, and linear or quadratic cohort trends. Standard errors are clustered at the level of graduation cohort to allow for group-level error terms. The results suggest a strong initial effect that persists but fades after about five years in the labor market.

Effect of UR at graduation on annual earnings by year since graduation	National unemployment rate		Main model based on	Working every	Instrument actual with	Effect by different graduation years (regional UR)		
	Linear cohort trend (1)	Quadratic cohort trend (2)	regional unemployment rate (3)	ten years after graduation (regional UR) (4)	predicted year of graduation (regional UR) (5)	1980–1984 (6)	1985–1989 (7)	1990–1994 (8)
Panel A. Sensitivity of main findings with respect to alternative specifications and samples								
Effect Year 0-1	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0196 \\ (0.0037) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0197 \\ (0.0023) \end{array}$	-0.0183 (0.0020)	-0.0168 (0.0022)	-0.0182 (0.0027)	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0163 \\ (0.0052) \end{array}$	$\substack{-0.0130 \\ (0.0059)}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0188 \\ (0.0060) \end{array}$
Effect Year 4-5	$-0.0038 \\ (0.0025)$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0038 \\ (0.0017) \end{array}$	-0.0089 (0.0016)	-0.0067 (0.0016)	-0.0079 (0.0017)	-0.0088 (0.0025)	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0118 \\ (0.0054) \end{array}$	-0.0087 (0.0053)
Effect Year 9-10	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0035 \\ (0.0031) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0044 \\ (0.0018) \end{array}$	-0.0042 (0.0017)	-0.0042 (0.0018)	-0.0050 (0.0020)	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0020 \\ (0.0030) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0128 \\ (0.0051) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0235 \\ (0.0074) \end{array}$
	Main model			By type of initial employer				
Effect of UR at graduation on annual earnings by year since graduation	but only including cohorts graduating after 1982 (1)	Including initial- firm fixed effects (2)	Including initial- firm-experience fixed effects (3)	Median log earnings <75th percentile (4)	Difference for median log earnings >75th percentile (5)	Mean log payroll <75th percentile (6)	Difference for mean log payroll >75th percentile (7)	
Panel B. Including controls for initial firm and by initial firm characteristics (Regional UR)   Effect Year 0-1 -0.0180 -0.0099 -0.0236 0.0157 -0.0198 0.0038   (0.0025) (0.0012) (0.0021) (0.0021) (0.0010) (0.0020) (0.0010)								
Effect Year 4-5	-0.0111 (0.0018)	0.0026 (0.0015)	-0.0046 (0.0024)	-0.0131 (0.0016)	0.0094 (0.0008)	-0.0094 (0.0016)	0.0008 (0.0006)	
Effect Year 9-10	-0.0068 (0.0019)	$-0.0189 \\ (0.0020)$	0.0001 (0.0027)	-0.0084 (0.0018)	0.0093 (0.0012)	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0050 \\ (0.0018) \end{array}$	0.0017 (0.0009)	

#### TABLE 1—THE PERSISTENT EFFECT OF THE UNEMPLOYMENT RATE (UR) IN THE YEAR OF COLLEGE GRADUATION ON ANNUAL EARNINGS OF MALE COLLEGE GRADUATES BY YEARS SINCE GRADUATION, ALTERNATIVE SPECIFICATIONS

*Notes:* The sample includes males in Canada leaving university between 1976 and 1995 (see the data Appendix). Regressions are based on cell data at the level of graduation cohort, province of residence in each year of graduation, and experience year (year since graduation). The national model regresses log annual earnings on the youth unemployment rate in the country at the year of college exit, interacted with dummies for five experience groups, plus experience fixed effects, and a linear or quadratic graduation cohort trend. The regional model regresses log annual earnings on the youth unemployment rate in the province of first residence, interacted with for five experience groups, plus province of first residence fixed effects, experience fixed effects, and year of graduation fixed effects. The coefficients shown are on the interaction of the unemployment rate at college exit and selected experience groups. Standard errors clustered at the first-province-cohort level are in brackets. See text for more details.

#### A. Main Regional Models

Our main results are drawn from regional models that include cohort effects as well as effects for province of first residence as described in Section II. The shifts in experience profiles due to an initial provincial unemployment shock are shown in Figure 2 (panel A) for our baseline graduate sample. The initial effects are similar in size to those from the national model, but starting at experience year four, the regional estimates indicate more persistence. Estimates with standard errors are shown in column 3 of panel A in Table 1.<sup>17</sup> The coefficients demonstrate that the effect on a high unemployment rate at graduation converges to zero only after ten experience years. Although our main results are based on a sample of graduates, as shown in Figure 2, there is little difference in the point estimates if we use all workers with some college. It does not appear that those with a college degree fare better than the full sample.

<sup>17</sup>The coefficient estimates of all figures are contained in the supplementary appendix in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz (2008). The main regression specification is given in equation 1.



FIGURE 2. PERSISTENT EFFECTS OF THE REGIONAL UNEMPLOYMENT RATE IN THE YEAR OF COLLEGE GRADUATION ON ANNUAL EARNINGS, JOB MOBILITY, EMPLOYMENT, AND FIRM QUALITY BY YEARS SINCE GRADUATION

*Notes:* The figures show coefficients from regressing specified outcome variables on regional unemployment rates at the end of college completion interacted with experience dummies, controlling for effects for cohort of graduation, experience (years since graduation), and region of first residence (equation 1 in the paper). Panels A and B are based on the sample of all 17 to 20-year-olds who started a college program in the data and on our main sample of only college graduates. Panel A shows coefficient estimates with log annual earnings as the outcome variable. Panel B shows coefficient estimates using a dummy variable for whether an individual was classified working in a different firm as the one indicated in the previous year as the outcome variable. Panels C and D only show results based on our main sample of college graduates. Panel C shows coefficient estimates using measures of current firm 'quality; (averaged across all years in the dataset) as the outcome of interest: the employer's average log total payroll, average log employee size, and average median log wage. Panel D shows coefficient estimates for employment-status measures: dummy variables for whether receiving any unemployment insurance in a given year ("Ui"), whether recorded as having zero earnings, or whether not recorded as filing a tax return in a given year ("Missing"). See text for more details.

The similarity between the national and regional results suggests we can exclude a strong correlation of initial unemployment rates at the national level with changing unobserved cohort characteristics. Below, we show that higher persistence in our regional results is not driven by more persistent local unemployment shocks. Instead, national estimates may be more affected by measurement error problems due to aggregating across local labor market shocks. Interregional mobility is less common in Canada than in the United States. Thus, the relevant labor market shock is at the regional level, an effect only partially absorbed by the national unemployment rate. Low regional mobility may also explain why results from the national model are not larger than those from the regional model.

Using the results from our main regional model, with an increase in unemployment of 5 percentage points—roughly a shift from boom to recession in our sample—annual wages are about 9 percent lower in the first year after college, still

JANUARY 2012

4 percent lower after 5 years out and about 2 percent lower 9 years out. Overall, we view the regional and national results as telling a consistent story. Graduating during a recession leads to significantly lower earnings at the beginning of an individual's career, but the gap converges to zero within ten years after graduation. The effects of concurrent unemployment rates we find are consistent with estimates from the literature on the "wage curve" in the United States and Canada (Blanchflower and Oswald 1994). They are also consistent with estimates by Bloom and Freeman (1989), who find that initial effects due to differences in cohort sizes fade after ten years. Similarly, Devereux (2003) finds, among a sample of workers from all ages, that half of a wage shock, instrumented by local unemployment conditions, is still present after about five years. Kahn (2010) finds somewhat more persistent losses in earnings than ours for college graduates in the United States, perhaps due to her focus on graduates entering the strong recession of the early 1980s.

*Dynamic Effects.*—The large number of cohorts at our disposition allows us to take the existing literature a step further by distinguishing the long-term effect of the very first unemployment rate, when the majority of graduates are beginning their search for a full-time job, from the role of persistent conditions in the labor market affecting them in later years. As discussed in Section II, due to the presence of continuing exposure to adverse labor market conditions, the estimates in Figure 2 (panel A) represent a summary of the earnings losses the average worker can expect due to entry in a depressed labor market. To isolate the extent to which our baseline results occur primarily from the very first labor market conditions, we include in our main model controls for the confounding effects of later regional unemployment rates correlated with initial labor market conditions (as explained in equation (2)).

We find that the majority of the effect is due to unemployment "shocks" in the year of labor market entry. We began by adding an interaction between dummies for potential labor market experience and the concurrent regional unemployment rate prevalent in the relevant year and current province of residence, and adding fixed effects for current province of residence. As predicted, the long-term effect of the initial unemployment rate partly arises due to the correlation with ongoing persistent labor market conditions, but the difference is small (not shown). As shown in Figure 3, the basic results are also not affected if in addition we allow for persistent effects of concurrent labor market conditions at higher experience years as discussed in Section II.<sup>18</sup> As benchmark, the figure replicates estimates for  $\beta_e$  corresponding to our main model ("baseline"), as well as estimates of the effect of the average unemployment rates at experience years 0 and 1 without any history controls (labeled "Group 01 (No History)"). We then show results from estimating equation (2) ( $\beta_{e,01}$  and  $\beta_{e,23}$ , labeled "full history"). These results suggest that part of the effect of initial unemployment rates is due to the correlation of initial and continuing regional labor market conditions. This is consistent with the findings of Beaudry and DiNardo (1991), McDonald and Worswick (1999), Grant (2003), and Schmieder and von Wachter (2010), who found that labor market conditions have persistent effects on earnings even for more

<sup>18</sup>Note that since we observe full history of province of residence only for cohorts graduating 1982 and onward, our estimates in Figure 3 and in other relevant specifications use only these cohorts.



FIGURE 3. EFFECT OF UNEMPLOYMENT RATE AT TIME OF GRADUATION ON EARNINGS CONTROLLING FOR DYNAMIC EFFECTS OF FURTHER UNEMPLOYMENT SHOCKS (by experience groups)

*Notes:* This figure shows estimates from regressing log annual earnings on the average of regional unemployment rates (UR) in experience years 0 and 1 at the end of college completion interacted with experience dummies, controlling for effects for cohort of graduation, experience (years since graduation), and region of first residence ("Group 01 (No History)"). The remaining lines show estimates from equation (2) in the text that control for the dynamic effect of unemployment rates encountered at higher experience years. Since we only observe full labor market histories for cohorts graduating in 1982 onwards, this figure is restricted to this set of cohorts. In addition, the figure shows our main estimates comparable to those in Figure 2 ("Baseline") for this sample.

experienced workers on the job. However, our results also clearly imply that an important part of the effect of initial unemployment rates is driven by the very first "shock" young workers encounter in the labor market at graduation.

Results from the dynamic model also imply that regional unemployment rates have much stronger effects on labor market entrants than on workers with a few years of labor market experience. To put the magnitude of the effect of initial labor market conditions into perspective, Figure 3 shows the dynamic effect of a shock occurring at experience years 2–3 from the grouped model with full history controls ( $\beta_{e,23}$  in the notation of equation (2)). To make the dynamic pattern comparable with that of the first group, the figure shows coefficients relative to the time of the shock (i.e., experience zero now relates to the moment of the shock). The effect of a shock experienced at experience years 2 to 3 is much smaller than the effect of a shock at entry (0 to 1) for all experience years. Our period is too short to observe complete reversion, but the point estimates are insignificant after years 5–7. Inspection of the data leads us to believe that the dynamic effects for shocks at later experience years are small. The result highlights the greater importance economic conditions have at the beginning of one's labor market career relative to their effect after an individual has begun his career.<sup>19</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>The limited role of regional mobility we find below suggests that the correlation of future unemployment rates with unobserved worker characteristics may not be a major concern. Any remaining amount of selection implies we

Sensitivity Analysis.—Our overall results hold up well against a variety of sensitivity checks. Since most of our measures indicate insignificant effects of unemployment rates on college duration, selective timing of graduation does not appear to be an important phenomenon in our data (see Table 1, panel A, column 5 and the supplementary appendix in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz 2008). Not surprisingly, when we use the unemployment rate in the predicted year of graduation (based on starting year of college and typical degree duration) as an instrument, our estimates confirm the main ordinary least squares results. Although all our results carry over with the instrumental variable estimate, in what follows, we report the more efficient ordinary least squares estimates.

The remaining columns of Table 1 (panel A) show two further sensitivity checks. First, column 4 shows that there are only small (and insignificant) differences in the effects when we only include workers always present with positive earnings. This implies that our findings are not due to selective labor force participation. Columns 6–8 show that although there are some expected differences in the effects of initial labor market conditions across cohorts (e.g., graduates entering in the strong recession of the early 1980s suffered slightly larger and more persistent effects), our results are quite similar for different groups of labor market entrants.

We have also tried various other sample and specification choices, none of which substantially affected our results. For instance, including college students who entered the labor market after a graduate degree had no effect on our results (see Figure C3, panel B in the supplementary appendix in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz 2008), suggesting that workers in our sample do not selectively choose to enter advanced degree programs due to unemployment. We also tried various ways of excluding workers with repeatedly missing wages and found little effect on our results (see figure C3, panel A in the supplementary appendix in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz 2008). We also re-estimated all of our results using the province of college as the region for the relevant initial shock, but in this case, too, our basic findings were unchanged (see Figure C1, panel C in the supplementary appendix in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz 2008).

It is possible that regional results show more persistent effects of initial labor market conditions on wages partly because workers are "stuck" in persistently slack regional labor markets. To address this possibility, we also included current-province-by-current-year fixed effects (shown in figure C1, panel D in the supplementary appendix in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz 2008), but this showed barely any differences from the main results. This observation is also an indicator that mobility toward provinces with higher wages is not a strong source of catch-up in our sample, a question to which we return below. We also examined whether using the average unemployment rate over several years after entry would yield different results, and found that it does not appear that the effects captured in the main models are driven by periods of extended unemployment (see figure C1, panel B in the supplementary appendix in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz 2008). Our results are also robust to many additional sensitivity checks contained in that supplementary appendix.

would tend to overstate the impact of labor market conditions at higher experience years.

*Effects on Employment.*—If unemployment rates affect participation, part of the recovery process in earnings that we found may be due to sample selection. Similarly, losses in employment could depress wages by reducing accumulation of labor market experience. Figure 2, panel D, replicates the results shown in panel A, using as outcome variables the fraction of workers claiming unemployment insurance benefits (called "employment insurance" in Canada), the fraction of workers filing taxes with zero earnings, and the fraction of workers not filing taxes in a given year. Estimates with standard errors for the fraction with zero earnings are shown in column 1 of Table 2. The table and figure show an initially significant increase in fraction zero earnings and the fraction of unemployment insurance claimants that fades within three experience years. The effects are numerically small and become smaller and insignificant when we control for persistence of local unemployment rates as in equation (2). In other words, a temporary unemployment rate shock has no persistent effects on employment or participation of male college graduates.

Since our sample does not contain information on time worked, we also replicated our results with the Canadian Census and found similar effects of early unemployment rates on annual earnings (see supplementary appendix V in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz 2008). Decomposing this effect into the effects of early unemployment rates on weeks worked and on weekly wages, we find that the effect on weeks worked is short lived. The majority of the persistent effects are driven by a reduction in weekly earnings. Overall, the loss in experience due to labor market entry in recessions is not very large for the average college student.<sup>20</sup> Thus, neither reduction in the accumulation of experience nor selective entry or exit from the earnings sample of workers of different abilities affects the main pattern of reversion.

*Effects on Regional Mobility.*—To explore whether entering the job market in recessions is associated with higher mobility across provinces, we also analyzed the effects of the unemployment rate at college exit on subsequent provincial mobility. As shown in supplementary appendix IV in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz 2008, the national unemployment rate is uncorrelated with moving to other provinces. However, for the regression models identifying regional economic shocks, we do observe initially increased provincial mobility for cohorts exposed to higher unemployment conditions at time of college exit.

For the graduate sample, a 5 percentage point difference in the unemployment rate at entry is associated with about a 0.75 percentage point difference in the provincial mobility rate in the first two years. This rate is about half of that for firm mobility and drops quickly after the third year. The benefit of moving to different provinces in terms of earnings also appears to be considerably smaller and shorter lived than that of moving to different firms or industries. The small effect of unemployment at college exit on provincial mobility suggests that most of the pattern of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>These results are echoed by Kahn (2010), who has found small initial effects on hours, employment, and weeks worked for male college graduates in the United States after the 1982 recession. Table 2 also displays a pattern of "overshooting" for some measures; this would imply that workers who had initially higher instability become more stable later relative to their more lucky counterparts. However, the estimates are numerically very small and never above 0.2 percentage points.

	Effect of LIR at	A11	Position in distribution of predicted annual earnings at time of graduation			
Outcome variable	graduation by year since graduation	graduates (1)	Bottom quintile (2)	Middle quintile (3)	Top quintile (4)	
Annual earnings	Effect year 0-1	-0.0183 (0.0020)	-0.0277 (0.0058)	-0.0232 (0.0033)	-0.0147 (0.0028)	
	Effect year 4–5	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0089 \\ (0.0016) \end{array}$	-0.0167 (0.0046)	-0.0124 (0.0025)	-0.0042 (0.0019)	
	Effect year 9–10	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0042 \\ (0.0016) \end{array}$	-0.0161 (0.0056)	-0.0039 (0.0028)	-0.0024 (0.0021)	
Average firm median log earnings	Effect year 0-1	-0.0096 (0.0012)	-0.0111 (0.0043)	-0.0128 (0.0022)	$-0.0082 \\ (0.0015)$	
	Effect year 4–5	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0042 \\ (0.0011) \end{array}$	-0.0087 (0.0040)	-0.0050 (0.0017)	-0.0004 (0.0015)	
	Effect year 9–10	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0028 \\ (0.0012) \end{array}$	-0.0126 (0.0044)	-0.0043 (0.0019)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0010 \\ (0.0015) \end{array}$	
Average firm employment	Effect year 0-1	-0.0098 (0.0048)	-0.0173 (0.0188)	-0.0157 (0.0092)	-0.0062 (0.0070)	
	Effect year 4–5	$\begin{array}{c} -0.00001 \\ (0.0049) \end{array}$	0.0009 (0.0168)	-0.0121 (0.0089)	0.0069 (0.0070)	
	Effect year 9-10	0.0044 (0.0060)	-0.0252 (0.0191)	-0.0104 (0.0100)	$0.0236 \\ (0.0073)$	
Fraction changed employer	Effect year 0-1	$0.0020 \\ (0.0011)$	-0.0023 (0.0024)	-0.0019 (0.0016)	0.0078 (0.0013)	
	Effect year 4–5	0.0021 (0.0005)	0.0019 (0.0020)	0.0032 (0.0008)	-0.0002 (0.0007)	
	Effect year 9–10	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0016 \\ (0.0005) \end{array}$	0.0020 (0.0020)	0.0033 (0.0008)	-0.0012 (0.0007)	
Fraction changed industry	Effect year 0-1	$0.0009 \\ (0.0010)$	-0.0032 (0.0021)	-0.0036 (0.0016)	0.0069 (0.0012)	
	Effect year 4–5	0.0016 (0.0004)	0.0018 (0.0018)	0.0022 (0.0008)	-0.0002 (0.0006)	
	Effect year 9-10	0.0015 (0.0005)	0.0030 (0.0018)	0.0023 (0.0008)	-0.0009 (0.0007)	
Fraction zero earnings	Effect year 0-1	0.0014 (0.0002)	-0.00001 (0.0009)	0.0009 (0.0003)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0017 \\ (0.0004) \end{array}$	
	Effect year 4–5	-0.0001 (0.0002)	-0.0018 (0.0007)	0.0003 (0.0004)	-0.0005 (0.0003)	
	Effect year 9-10	-0.0002 (0.0002)	-0.0015 (0.0007)	0.0006 (0.0004)	-0.0006 (0.0003)	

TABLE 2—HETEROGENEITY IN THE EFFECT OF THE REGIONAL UNEMPLOYMENT RATE (UR) IN THE YEAR OF COLLEGE GRADUATION ON ANNUAL EARNINGS, JOB MOBILITY, EMPLOYMENT, AND FIRM QUALITY

*Notes:* Coefficients from separate regression models of outcomes listed in the first column on unemployment rate at graduation by selected years since graduation, controlling for effects for year of graduation, experience, and province of first residence. Column 1 shows the results for the full sample of college graduates, whereas columns 2–4 show the results separately for college graduates in the first, third, and fifth quintile of predicted earnings at the time of graduation. Standard errors clustered at the first province cohort level are in parentheses. See text for more details.

catch-up in wages over time for individuals who made their first entry into the labor market during a recession occurs within provinces.

To directly assess the potential effect of provincial mobility on earnings, we also replicated our estimates separately for workers who never switched regions and for movers. Those never moving (about three-quarters of our sample) behaved in a way very similar to the behavior of the full sample (see figure D3 in the supplementary appendix in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz 2008). It appears that regional mobility after an adverse initial shock may not be as important in Canada as in the United States (Wozniak 2006).

# B. Heterogeneity in the Effect of Graduating from College in a Recession

In this section, we use our data to show that college graduates with lower predicted wages, based on college background, are more adversely affected by higher initial unemployment rate conditions. We first use a linear regression model to predict log earnings based on college attended, program of graduation, and years of study, conditional on province of study and cohort year. Since individuals are likely to be sorted into colleges, these estimates capture both differences in innate ability and differences in college quality. We then group individuals into quintiles based on these predicted wages.<sup>21</sup>

Our results imply that college graduates with the lowest predicted annual earnings are most affected by higher initial unemployment conditions and experience permanent earnings losses, while those at the top experience losses that are short-lived. Figure 4 shows the same coefficients for the effects of the initial unemployment rate on log earnings, job mobility, individuals' firms' log median earnings, and employment as in the baseline model, but for regression models estimated separately for the first, third, and fifth predicted wage quintiles (this figure corresponds to Figure 2 for the full sample). Columns 2–4 of Table 2 summarize the key structure of losses by quintile and compare them to results for the full sample, with standard errors. As is apparent from the figure and table, those with the lowest predicted annual earnings are most affected by higher initial unemployment conditions and experience permanent earnings losses. Earnings 1 year into the labor market are about 15 percent lower from a 5 percentage point increase in the initial unemployment rate, and, in this case, they remain about 7.5 percent lower even after 10 years. In contrast, the earnings of college graduates in the top quintile are, on average, about 7.5 percent lower in the first year after a 5 point increase in unemployment rates, but the gap falls to less than 2 percent after only 4 years. The median group of graduates experiences similar patterns of reversion as the average shown in Figure 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> A similar approach to assessing college quality is followed by Betts, Ferrall, and Finnie (forthcoming), who use the same college data and information about wages after graduation as we do. After analyzing majors and colleges separately, in our final specification, we interact major and college dummies. Differences by major or college in themselves are as expected. For example, humanities graduates do worst and then come social sciences; economics and engineering are in the middle range, whereas hard sciences are in the high range (See figure G1 in the supplementary appendix in Oreopoulos, con Wachter, and Heisz). The effect of sorting into colleges is discussed extensively in Black and Smith (2004); Black, Kermit, and Smith (2005); and Dale and Krueger (2002).



FIGURE 4. PERSISTENT EFFECTS OF THE REGIONAL UNEMPLOYMENT RATE IN THE YEAR OF GRADUATION ON ANNUAL EARNINGS, JOB MOBILITY, EMPLOYMENT, AND FIRM QUALITY FOR WORKERS WITH DIFFERENT PREDICTED EARNINGS BASED ON COLLEGE AND MAJOR

*Notes:* The figures show coefficients from regressing specified outcome variables on regional unemployment rates at the end of college completion, controlling for effects for year of graduation, experience (years since graduation), and province of first residence (equation (1) in the paper). The samples are divided into predicted skill groups, based on major program of study and college (see text for more details). Panel A shows coefficient estimates with log annual earnings as the outcome variable. Panel B shows coefficient estimates using a dummy variable for whether an individual was classified working in a different firm as the one indicated in the previous year as the outcome variable. Panel C shows coefficient estimates using the employer's average log total payroll (averaged across all years in the dataset) as a measure for firm quality. Panel D shows coefficient estimates for whether recorded as having zero earnings in a given year.

*Overall Costs of Recessions.*—The longitudinal data allowed us to obtain a direct measure of the cost of recessions that is a useful complement to measures in the literature based on the standard deviations of earnings. Figure 5 graphs the percentage decline in the present discounted value of annual earnings by deciles of the predicted earnings distribution. We discounted earnings at an interest rate of 5 percent and included only the first 10 years of earnings in our calculation. This assumed that the difference in annual earnings had decayed after 10 years. We thus *understated* the loss for less advantaged workers, whose earnings had not fully recovered by that time. Thus, we view our calculations as lower bound estimates of the full, life-time loss in earnings.

Figure 5 illustrates two key messages. First, there is an important gradient in the cost of recessions in predicted earnings—those individuals with lower earnings capacity face four to five times the cost of recessions than do the most advantaged workers. On the other hand, the least advantaged college graduates appear to bear most of the impact



FIGURE 5. HETEROGENEITY OF LOSSES FROM GRADUATING IN A RECESSION AS MEASURED BY LOSS IN PRESENT DISCOUNTED VALUE OF EARNINGS IN FIRST TEN YEARS SINCE GRADUATION

*Notes:* The figure shows the percentage loss in the present discounted value of annual earnings in the first ten years after graduation due to graduation in a recession by deciles of the distribution of predicted earnings, assuming an interest rate of five percent and that losses fade after ten years in the labor market. See text for details. The numbers have been smoothed by a moving average.

from graduating in a recession. Second, losses from starting to work in a recession as measured by actual changes in the present discounted values of earnings or utility losses are high even for the more able workers. In particular, for the median worker in our sample, losses are much higher than what is typically found in the literature.<sup>22</sup>

### IV. Mechanisms of Recovery from Graduating in a Recession

The preceding results draw a detailed picture of the effect of initial labor market conditions on college graduates, in which very short-lived, adverse labor market conditions have long-term effects, in which labor market entrants are much more affected than workers with just a few years of experience, and in which the size and persistence of the effect vary dramatically across the skill distribution. In Section III, we ruled out mobility across provinces and reduced work time in terms of non-employment or weeks worked in determining income recovery for students graduating in a recession. In this section, we analyze two additional key channels: the role of first employers and mobility across jobs and industries.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> The median worker in our sample loses about Can\$22,000 (in 2005 prices), which represents about 6 percent of the present discounted value of earnings, during their first 10 years in the labor market. This compares to average annual earnings during the first experience year for the median worker of about Can\$25,000 (in 2005 prices). In Appendix IX in the supplementary appendix in Oreopoulos, con Wachter, and Heisz (2008), we also show the fraction increase in annual earnings a worker would require in order to be indifferent between a noisy earnings path and an alternative stable path, using a constant relative risk aversion utility function. This corresponds conceptually to the original Lucas measure. The results convey the same message as Figure 5. We find that an uncertain stream of earnings had to be increased by about 7 percent for the median worker in our sample in order for it to be of equal utility as a comparable certain path. The typical estimate in the literature is below 1 percent. Some studies, such as Storesletten, Telmer, and Yaron (2001) and Krusell and Smith (1999), find effects comparable to ours for households with no wealth.

Figure 2, panel C, and column 1 of Table 2 show that, according to our data, graduates entering the labor market during times of high unemployment are more likely to begin work at lower quality employers, measured in terms of average log firm size, log total payroll, and log median wage over the course of the panel.<sup>23</sup> Figure 2 also shows that after an initial down ranking, firm quality improves quickly in the first three to five years that a worker spends in the labor market when job mobility is higher than average. As the effect of initial unemployment on job mobility declines (panel B of Figure 2), improvements in firm quality slow down visibly (panel C). Reversion in firm quality continues, but at a reduced rate. According to these results, the catch-up process appears to occur in two phases. In the first phase of catch-up, workers experience rapid improvements in the quality of their employers through job mobility. This phase lasts four to five years. Improvement in employer quality is largely absent in the second phase, where reversion appears to occur within firms.

Figure 4 and the remaining columns of Table 2 highlight important differences in catch-up for workers with different skill levels. High-skilled workers experience large, temporary increases in rates of job mobility and completely close the gap in employer quality within four years. Medium-skilled workers experience aboveaverage job mobility and increases in firm quality within the first four years, too, but they do not fully close the gap. College graduates at the bottom of the skill distribution experience only small increases in job mobility and improvement in firm quality in the years after graduation, and they are permanently downgraded to lower-paying employers and sectors. For these workers, any catch-up that occurs appears to happen within firms, but does not fully close the gap.

Sensitivity.—As in our analysis of earnings effects, all of our firm mobility results are robust to a range of specification checks. For example, the results hold when we use national instead of provincial unemployment variation (see table D4 for job mobility and table E2 for firm quality in the supplementary appendix in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz 2008). We also find similar results after including concurrent unemployment rates in the present province of residence. Controlling for persistent unemployment generates larger estimated effects for unemployment conditions at labor market entry. This is because higher unemployment rates tend to reduce job mobility among more experienced workers (e.g., Shimer 2005 and Appendix VIII in the supplementary appendix in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz 2008). This exercise shows that job mobility and firm quality of labor market entrants respond more strongly to conditions in the labor market than do the job mobility and firm quality of workers already in the labor market. It also confirms our conclusion in Section IIIA that labor market entrants have exceptional responses to labor market conditions.

In Table 2, we also report how unemployment conditions affect workers' propensity to switch industries. In addition to job shopping, workers may actively search

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> High-wage sectors have more pro-cyclical employment (e.g., McLaughlin and Bils 2001), and we find a corresponding pattern for firms. Typical high-wage and pro-cyclical industries are durable goods manufacturing and construction. Typical low-wage, less pro-cyclical sectors are retail trade and personal services. At the firm level, the patterns may arise due to changes in demand for products of different quality, differences in the costs of job creation, or because of changes in product market competition.

for a match with the "right" industry (see, for example, McCall 1990 and Neal 1995). We discovered a similar pattern of cyclical downgrading toward low-wage industries as the one we found for low-wage firms (see table E3 in the supplementary appendix in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz 2008), but we also found that downgrading occurs within industries. Finally, as observed in the United States by Topel and Ward (1992), on average, job mobility in Canada during the first ten years of workers' careers substantially contributes to wage growth. This positive association of job changes and wage changes strengthens for workers graduating during a recession, further suggesting that job mobility plays an important role in the recovery process (Table D5 in the supplementary appendix in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz 2008).

What's Behind the Catch-Up Process?—Our analysis of channels has suggested that initial down ranking to low-wage employers and gradual improvements in firm quality play key roles in explaining persistent earnings effects in our data. To obtain a sense of the potential magnitude of the role of job mobility, we calibrated the magnitude of the effects of job change or improvements in firm quality based on the average wage gain at job mobility. We find that 40-50 percent of recovery after initial earnings losses could be explained by productive job mobility (appendix VII in the supplementary appendix in Oreopoulos, von Wachter, and Heisz 2008). To directly assess the magnitude of alternative channels underlying the catch-up process, we added controls for a cohort's average firm quality and current and lagged regional unemployment rates to our cell-level regression of average log annual earnings. Since career outcomes after graduation are potentially correlated with unobserved individual characteristics, such a regression is not meaningful at the worker level. However, due to our finding that recessions do not appear to affect the timing of graduation or labor force participation in our data, there is no selection at the cell level. Thus, the inclusion of cell-level variables allows decomposing the persistent effect of the initial unemployment rate on earnings into the part explained by differences and changes in firm quality, the part explained by persistent effects of unemployment rates and what is explained by other factors. Effectively, we add average outcome variables at the level of cells defined by graduation cohort, initial province, and experience year to versions of the regression model in equation (2).

Figure 6 shows the effect on earnings of initial unemployment rates in years 0 to 1 in the labor market (these are simply estimates of equation (2) without any controls for unemployment rate histories as in Figure 3). The second line from the bottom shows the remaining effect of initial unemployment rates after we conditioned for average employer quality in a given cell. The figure suggests that an important part of the earnings difference (about 40–50 percent) could be explained by reductions in firm quality. As predicted by a model of job search with age-related mobility costs, differences in firm quality matter especially during the first years after entry into the labor market.

We then added the *current* unemployment rate to the model, interacted with labor market experience to allow for persistent effects (thus, these are estimates of  $\beta_{e,01}$  for a version of equation (2), where we added the average mean firm earnings of the



FIGURE 6. THE ROLE OF LABOR MARKET CONDITIONS AND FIRM CHARACTERISTICS IN EXPLAINING PERSISTENCE IN THE EFFECT OF UNEMPLOYMENT RATES IN THE YEAR OF COLLEGE GRADUATION ON ANNUAL EARNINGS

*Notes:* The figures show coefficients from regressing annual earnings on the average of regional unemployment rates (UR) in experience years 0 and 1 at the end of college completion interacted with experience dummies, controlling for effects for cohort of graduation, experience (years since graduation), and region of first residence ("Basic Model"). The circled line adds as a regressor average firm earnings at the cell-level to this model (where as discussed in the text cells are defined by graduation year, region of first residence, and year of experience). In addition, the squared line adds controls for the dynamic effects of the continuing history of unemployment rates as shown in equation (2) in the text. Since we only observe full labor market histories for cohorts graduating in 1982 onward, this figure is restricted to this set of cohorts.

current employer at the cell level). Once we added persistent effects of further labor market conditions, the long-term effect of initial unemployment faded completely by the sixth year in the labor market. Thus, temporary reductions in firm quality *plus* continuing exposure to adverse labor market conditions correlated with the effect at entry explain a large fraction of the earnings losses we find.

*Discussion.*—The available evidence suggests that mobility toward better employers is an important channel through which catch-up after an adverse initial start occurs. Differences in job mobility can also explain the heterogeneity in responses of earnings and firm quality that we discovered. This has implications for our understanding of the role of job mobility in workers' careers and in the labor market's adjustment to cyclical shocks. Overall, the results support an environment in which heterogeneous workers gradually search for jobs at better firms, but in which recovery is slowed due to accumulation of specific capital and increases in the cost of mobility as workers age. An important feature of the model we summarized in Section I is that these adjustment processes may differ by workers' skill level. Given realistic assumptions about comparative advantage, low-skilled workers are more likely to be affected by time-increasing mobility costs and to be persistently downranked to lower-paying firms. As a result, low-skilled workers are more likely to experience permanent effects from initial labor market conditions. A key insight of the search model we outlined in Section I is that effects of initial unemployment rates lead to *permanent* earnings differences only if coupled with search frictions that intensify with age. Without a distinction between "newly minted," flexible workers, and workers settling down, nothing would prevent workers to keep seeking better jobs once they have entered the labor market.

While a model based on search frictions yields a parsimonious explanation of the findings, as discussed in Section I, other modeling approaches combining differential job mobility and persistence by skill levels could yield similar predictions. For example, a neoclassical model of gradual sorting, in which employers learn about workers' ability at differential speeds (Gibbons et al. 2005) and provide different degrees of training (Gibbons and Waldman 2006) may explain some of the patterns we found. In either case, one key mechanism underlying our findings is likely to be the interaction between age- and skill-related incentives to job mobility. It is beyond the scope of this article to test between these models. Instead, in the remainder of this section, we will summarize two additional empirical results that are consistent with search-related frictions playing a role in the recovery process.

Our longitudinal worker-firm-college dataset allows us to make a direct assessment of the long-term effect of a worker's very first job by including fixed effects for his first employer interacted with experience dummies in an individual-level version of our regression model. To do so, we were able to re-estimate equation (1) without first collapsing our data to the cell level (only the dependent variable changes and, besides the firm-experience year effects, all other regressors are as stated in equation (1)). Note that if high-wage firms attract workers of higher ability during recessions, the result will tend to be an overestimation of the role of the initial employer. Consistent with the findings in Figure 6, the result suggests that about half of the earnings loss can be explained by the first employer alone (see Table 1, panel B, column 3; and Figure 7 of Oreopoulos, von Wachter and Heisz (2008)). This finding confirms that first job placement plays an important role in explaining the long-term consequences of graduating in a poor labor market. It appears less consistent with models of employer learning unless (contrary to the assumptions of the learning model) first placement correlates strongly with worker ability.

Again using an individual-level version of our main regression equation, we also found that the rate of catch-up slowed for workers whose first employer paid high average wages (Table 1, panel B, columns 4–7; and Figure 7 of Oreopoulos, von Wachter and Heisz (2008)). This is consistent with the search model we outlined, according to which the nature of catch-up changes once workers enter high-productivity firms, and is from thereon driven by accumulation of specific skills. Given the large differences in average employer quality on the one hand and moderate consensus estimates of the returns to tenure on the other hand, it is not surprising that this second phase is slower.<sup>24</sup> Such a pattern is more difficult to rationalize in the context

<sup>24</sup>Even if workers continue to search, once they are employed by a large firm, they are less likely to obtain a better job match. Again, the probability of starting to work at a high-quality firm may be correlated with workers' ability, and the degree of selectivity might be affected by early unemployment rates. To address this problem, we have included control functions in the fraction of workers starting to work at high-quality firms. Similarly, we have included average father's income as a control function. Neither strategy affects our results (results available

of a model of employer learning, where wages depend on workers' expected skills, not their employers, or in a model of skill accumulation, unless higher-paying firms also have fewer opportunities for skill accumulation and hence wage growth.

# V. Conclusion

We have estimated the long-term effects of entering the labor market in a recession for a large sample of Canadian men leaving college whose earnings, employers, and career outcomes were tracked for ten years. Using an unusually large number of cohorts, we discovered that the average worker graduating from college in a recession faces earnings losses that are very persistent but not permanent. A key contribution of this article is to document the fact that the average estimates mask complex patterns in the timing and heterogeneity of the effects from early labor market conditions. Controlling for unemployment rate conditions after the first year of labor market entry, we conclude that an important part of the wage deficit can be attributed to the unemployment rate variation in the very first year after leaving school. We have also found that the effects of recession shocks are strongest for young workers, while workers with a couple of years of labor market experience are less affected. In addition, we have found that college graduates at the bottom of the wage and ability distribution have larger and more persistent losses, while the effects at the top are small and short lived. Our estimates of how the path of earnings declines suggest that the present discounted value of losses in annual earnings could be three to four times larger for the least advantaged as compared to the most advantaged workers - indicating that even within the group of college graduates, there is a large degree of heterogeneity in the costs of recessions.

Another key contribution of this study is its analysis of the mechanisms behind these persistent and heterogeneous effects of short-term labor market shocks. We find that recessions initially lead workers to start to work at less attractive employers. An important part of earnings catch-up occurs by means of workers moving to higher paying firms, especially during the first years after the shock. These patterns are much more pronounced for more advantaged college graduates. Less advantaged graduates recover at much slower speeds, if they recover at all, from the initial downgrading to lower paying employers. The patterns are also much more pronounced for labor market entrants than for workers with two or three years of labor market experience.

Our results provide direct evidence that short-term shocks can lead to cyclical downgrading with an ensuing gradual process of reallocation through job mobility. Our approach based on exogenous variation in labor market conditions has allowed us to explicitly quantify the contribution of job mobility to individual wage growth —an important channel in many micro and macro models of the labor market. We have also shown that the adjustment process can take a long time, that it differs by

upon request). Since young workers' earnings may not be entirely a function of their ability (due, for example, to the presence of employer learning), including worker fixed effects is not be the ideal strategy for dealing with this problem.

college background, and that some workers never recover, a challenge for typical models of career progression.

We have argued that a job search model with frictions that vary with skill as well as age would have the capacity to capture the main patterns in our data, including the high degree of persistence. However, other relevant mechanisms could explain part of the catch-up process—including gradual reallocation through employer learning (e.g., Gibbons et al. 2005). We have also emphasized a potential role of recovery on the job due to contracting (e.g., Beaudry and DiNardo 1991) or job assignment (e.g., Gibbons and Waldman 2006). We leave an explicit test between different models to future work. Finally, we should emphasize that by focusing on male college graduates, we have left out other workers, such as high school graduates and women, that could be important in determining the overall response of labor market entrants to cyclical shocks.

#### REFERENCES

- Aaronson, Daniel, and Sara Christopher. 2004. "Employment Growth in Higher-Paying Sectors." Chicago Fed Letter, 9(206): 1–4.
- Abowd, John M., Robert H. Creecy, and Francis Kramarz. 2002. "Computing Person and Firm Effects Using Linked Longitudinal Employer-Employee Data." Census Bureau LEHD Technical Paper TP-2002-06.
- Albaek, Karsten, and Bent E. Sorensen. 1998. "Worker Flows and Job Flows in Danish Manufacturing, 1980–91." Economic Journal, 108(451): 1750–71.
- Altonji, Joseph G., and Nicolas Williams. 2005. "Do Wages Rise with Job Seniority? A Reassessment." Industrial and Labor Relations Review, 58(3): 370–97.
- Baker, George, Michael Gibbs, and Bengt Holmstrom. 1994. "The Wage Policy of a Firm." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 109(4): 921–55.
- Barlevy, Gadi. 2005. "The Cost of Business Cycles and the Benefits of Stabilization." *Federal Reserve* Bank of Chicago Economic Perspectives, 29(1): 32–49.
- Beaudry, Paul, and John DiNardo. 1991. "The Effect of Implicit Contracts on the Movement of Wages over the Business Cycle: Evidence from Micro Data." *Journal of Political Economy*, 99(4): 665–88.
- **Beaudry, Paul, and David A. Green.** 2000. "Cohort Patterns in Canadian Earnings: Assessing the Role of Skill Premia in Inequality Trends." *Canadian Journal of Economics*, 33(4): 907–36.
- Beaudry, Paul, Thomas Lemieux, and Daniel Parent. 2000. "What Is Happening in the Youth Labour Market in Canada?" *Canadian Public Policy*, 26: S59–83.
- Betts, Julian, Christopher Ferrall, and Ross Finnie. Forthcoming. "The Role of University Quality in Determining Post-Graduation Outcomes: Panel Evidence from Three Recent Canadian Cohorts." *Canadian Journal of Economics*.
- Black, Dan, Kermit Daniel, and Jeffrey Smith. 2005. "College Quality and Wages in the United States." *German Economic Review*, 6(3): 415–43.
- Black, Dan A., and Jeffrey A. Smith. 2004. "How Robust Is the Evidence on the Effects of College Quality? Evidence from Matching." *Journal of Econometrics*, 121(1–2): 99–124.
- Blanchflower, David G., and Andrew J. Oswald. 1994. The Wage Curve. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Blau, David M., and Philip K. Robins. 1990. "Job Search Outcomes for the Employed and Unemployed." *Journal of Political Economy*, 98(3): 637–55.
- Bloemen, Hans G. 2005. "Job Search, Search Intensity, and Labor Market Transitions: An Empirical Analysis." *Journal of Human Resources*, 40(1): 231–69.
- Bloom, David E., and Richard B. Freeman. 1989. "The 'Youth Problem': Age or Generational Crowding." National Bureau of Economics Working Paper 1829.
- Christensen, Bent Jesper, Rasmus Lentz, Dale T. Mortensen, George R. Neumann, and Axel Werwatz. 2005. "On-the-Job Search and the Wage Distribution." *Journal of Labor Economics*, 23(1): 31–58.
- Cutler, David M., and Lawrence F. Katz. 1991. "Macroeconomic Performance and the Disadvantaged." *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 22(2): 1–74.

- Dale, Stacy Berg, and Alan B. Krueger. 2002. "Estimating the Payoff to Attending a More Selective College: An Application of Selection on Observables and Unobservables." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 117(4): 1491–1527.
- Deaton, Angus. 1997. The Analysis of Household Surveys: A Microeconometric Approach to Development Policy. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

**Devereux, Paul J.** 2002. "Occupational Upgrading and the Business Cycle." *Labour*, 16(3): 423–52.

Devereux, Paul J. 2002. "The Importance of Obtaining a High-Paying Job." Unpublished.

- Ellwood, David. 1982. "Teenage Unemployment: Permanent Scars or Temporary Blemishes?" In *The Youth Labor Market Problem: Its Nature, Causes, and Consequences*, ed. Richard B. Freeman and David A. Wise, 349–90. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Gardecki, Rosella, and David Neumark. 1998. "Order from Chaos? The Effects of Early Labor Market Experiences on Adult Labor Market Outcomes." *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 51(2): 299–322.
- Genda, Yuji, Ayako Kondo, and Souichi Ohta. 2010. "Long-Term Effects of a Recession at Labor Market Entry in Japan and the United States." *Journal of Human Resources*, 45(1): 157–96.
- Gibbons, Robert, Lawrence F. Katz, Thomas Lemieux, and Daniel Parent. 2005. "Comparative Advantage, Learning, and Sectoral Wage Determination." *Journal of Labor Economics*, 23(4): 681–723.
- **Gibbons, Robert, and Michael Waldman.** 2006. "Enriching a Theory of Wage and Promotion Dynamics inside Firms." *Journal of Labor Economics*, 24(1): 59–107.
- Grant, Darren. 2003. "The Effect of Implicit Contracts on the Movement of Wages over the Business Cycle: Evidence from the National Longitudinal Surveys." *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 56(3): 393–408.
- Hall, Robert E. 1974. "The Process of Inflation and the Labor Market." *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 5(2): 343–93.
- Harris, Milton, and Bengt Holmstrom. 1982. "A Theory of Wage Dynamics." *Review of Economic Studies*, 49(3): 315–33.
- Heisz, Andrew. 2001. "Income Prospects of British Columbia University Graduates." Statistics Canada Analytical Studies Branch Research Paper Series 170.
- Heisz, Andrew. 2003. "Cohort Effects in Annual Earnings by Field of Study Among British Columbia University Graduates." Statistics Canada Analytical Studies Branch Research Paper Series 200.
- Hines, James R. Jr., Hilary W. Hoynes, and Alan B. Krueger. 2002. "Another Look at Whether a Rising Tide Lifts All Boats." In *The Roaring Nineties: Can Full Employment Be Sustained?*, ed. Alan B. Krueger and Robert Solow, 493–537. Russell Sage Foundation: New York.
- Kahn, Lisa B. 2010. "The Long-Term Labor Market Consequences of Graduating from College in a Bad Economy." *Labour Economics*, 17(2): 303–16.
- Krause, Michael U., and Thomas A. Lubik. 2006. "The Cyclical Upgrading of Labor and On-the-Job Search." *Labour Economics*, 13(4): 459–77.
- Krueger, Alan B., and Lawrence H. Summers. 1988. "Efficiency Wages and the Inter-industry Wage Structure." *Econometrica*, 56(2): 259–93.
- Krusell, Per, and Anthony A. Smith Jr. 1999. "On the Welfare Effects of Eliminating Business Cycles." *Review of Economic Dynamics*, 2(1): 245–72.
- Lange, Fabian. 2007. "The Speed of Employer Learning." Journal of Labor Economics, 25(1): 1–35.
- Lentz, Rasmus. 2007. "Sorting in a General Equilibrium On-the-Job Search Model." Unpublished.
- Lucas, Robert E., Jr. 1987. Models of Business Cycles. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- MacLeod, W. Bentley, and James M. Malcomson. 1993. "Investments, Holdup, and the Form of Market Contracts." *American Economic Review*, 83(4): 811–37.
- Manning, Alan. 2005. *Monopsony in Motion: Imperfect Competition in Labor Markets*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Martins, Pedro, Gary Solon, and Jonathan Thomas. 2009. "Measuring What Employers Really Do About Entry Wages Over the Business Cycle." Unpublished.
- McCall, Brian P. 1990. "Occupational Matching: A Test of Sorts." *Journal of Political Economy*, 98(1): 45–69.
- McDonald, Ian M., and Robert M. Solow. 1985. "Wages and Employment in a Segmented Labor Market." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 100(4): 1115–41.
- McDonald, James Ted, and Christopher Worswick. 1999. "Wages, Implicit Contracts, and the Business Cycle: Evidence from Canadian Micro Data." *Journal of Political Economy*, 107(4): 884–92.
- McLaughlin, Kenneth J., and Mark Bils. 2001. "Interindustry Mobility and the Cyclical Upgrading of Labor." *Journal of Labor Economics*, 19(1): 94–135.
- Mortensen, Dale T. 1986. "Job Search and Labor Market Analysis." In *Handbook of Labor Economics*, Vol. 2, ed. Orley Ashenfelter and Richard Layard, 849–919. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science.

- Mortensen, Dale T. 1988. "Wages, Separations, and Job Tenure: On-the-Job Specific Training or Matching?" *Journal of Labor Economics*, 6(4): 445–71.
- Moscarini, Giuseppe, and Francis G. Vella. 2008. "Occupational Mobility and the Business Cycle." National Bureau of Economics Working Paper 13819.
- Murphy, Kevin M., and Finis Welch. 1990. "Empirical Age-Earnings Profiles." Journal of Labor Economics, 8(2): 202–29.
- Neal, Derek. 1995. "Industry-Specific Human Capital: Evidence from Displaced Workers." *Journal of Labor Economics*, 13(4): 653–77.
- Neal, Derek. 1999. "The Complexity of Job Mobility among Young Men." *Journal of Labor Economics*, 17(2): 237–61.
- Okun, Arthur M. 1973. "Upward Mobility in a High-Pressure Economy." Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, 4(1): 207–52.
- **Oreopoulos, Philip, Till von Wachter, and Andrew Heisz.** 2008. "The Short- and Long-Term Career Effects of Graduating in a Recession: Hysteresis and Heterogeneity in the Market for College Graduates." IZA Discussion Paper 3578.
- **Oreopoulos, Philip, Till von Wagner, and Andrew Heisz.** 2012. "The Short- and Long-Term Career Effects of Graduating in a Recession." *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*. http://dx.doi.org/10.1257/app.4.1.1.
- **Oyer, Paul.** 2006. "The Macro-Foundations of Microeconomics: Initial Labor Market Conditions and Long-Term Outcomes for Economists." National Bureau of Economics Working Paper 12157.
- **Oyer, Paul.** 2008. "The Making of an Investment Banker: Stock Market Shocks, Career Choice, and Lifetime Income." *Journal of Finance*, 63(6): 2601–28.
- Parent, Daniel. 2000. "Industry-Specific Capital and the Wage Profile: Evidence from the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth and the Panel Study of Income Dynamics." *Journal of Labor Economics*, 18(2): 306–23.
- Pissarides, Christopher A. 2000. Equilibrium Unemployment Theory. second ed. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- **Prendergast, Canice.** 1999. "The Provision of Incentives in Firms." *Journal of Economic Literature*, 37(1): 7–63.
- Reder, M. W. 1955. "The Theory of Occupational Wage Differentials." *American Economic Review*, 45(5): 833–52.
- **Reynolds, Lloyd.** 1951. *The Structure of Labor Markets: Wages and Labor Mobility in Theory and Practice.* Westport, CN: Greenwood Press.
- Ryan, Paul. 2001. "The School-to-Work Transition: A Cross-National Perspective." Journal of Economic Literature, 39(1): 34–92.
- Schmieder, Johannes F., and Till von Wachter. 2010. "Does Wage Persistence Matter for Employment Fluctuations? Evidence from Displaced Workers." *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 2(3): 1–21.
- Shimer, Robert. 2004. "Search Intensity." Unpublished.
- Shimer, Robert. 2005. "The Cyclical Behavior of Equilibrium Unemployment and Vacancies." *American Economic Review*, 95(1): 25–49.
- Stevens, Ann Huff. 1997. "Persistent Effects of Job Displacement: The Importance of Multiple Job Losses." *Journal of Labor Economics*, 15(1): 165–88.
- Storesletten, Kjetil, Chris I. Telmer, and Amir Yaron. 2001. "The Welfare Cost of Business Cycles Revisited: Finite Lives and Cyclical Variation in Idiosyncratic Risk." *European Economic Review*, 45(7): 1311–39.
- Topel, Robert H., and Michael P. Ward. 1992. "Job Mobility and the Careers of Young Men." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 107(2): 439–79.
- Vroman, Wayne. 1977. "Worker Upgrading and the Business Cycle." Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, 8(1): 229–50.
- Wozniak, Abigail. 2006. "Why Are College Graduates More Responsive to Distant Labor Market Opportunities?" Unpublished.

### This article has been cited by:

- 1. Ramana Nanda, Sampsa Samila, Olav Sorenson. 2020. The persistent effect of initial success: Evidence from venture capital. *Journal of Financial Economics* 137:1, 231-248. [Crossref]
- 2. Kennan Cepa, Frank F. Furstenberg. 2020. Reaching Adulthood: Persistent Beliefs about the Importance and Timing of Adult Milestones. *Journal of Family Issues* 55, 0192513X2091861. [Crossref]
- 3. Markus Nagler, Marc Piopiunik, Martin R. West. 2020. Weak Markets, Strong Teachers: Recession at Career Start and Teacher Effectiveness. *Journal of Labor Economics* 38:2, 453-500. [Crossref]
- 4. Ulrike Malmendier, Stefan Nagel, Zhen Yan. 2020. The making of hawks and doves. *Journal of Monetary Economics*. [Crossref]
- 5. J. Michael Collins, Carly Urban. 2020. Measuring financial well-being over the lifecourse. *The European Journal of Finance* 26:4-5, 341-359. [Crossref]
- 6. Margarida Rodrigues. 2020. Skills and Youth Unemployment: Cross-Country Evidence from Synthetic Panel Data. *Journal of Human Capital*. [Crossref]
- 7. Jonathan Heathcote, Andrew Glover, Dirk Krueger, Jose-Victor Rios-Rull. 2020. Intergenerational Redistribution in the Great Recession. *Journal of Political Economy*. [Crossref]
- 8. Peter Haller, Daniel F. Heuermann. 2020. Opportunities and competition in thick labor markets: Evidence from plant closures. *Journal of Regional Science* **60**:2, 273-295. [Crossref]
- Arnaldo Mont'Alvao, Carlos Costa Ribeiro. 2020. Youth Labor Market Prospects in Times of Economic Recession in Brazil. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 688:1, 38-54. [Crossref]
- Jaesung Choi, Hannah Bae. 2020. Changes in Early Labor Market Outcomes among Young College Graduates in South Korea. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 688:1, 115-136. [Crossref]
- 11. Jon Marius Vaag Iversen, Bjarne Strøm. 2020. Skills, Employment, and Labor Market Institutions: Evidence from PIAAC. *LABOUR* 6. . [Crossref]
- 12. Murali Kuchibhotla, Peter F. Orazem, Sanjana Ravi. 2020. The scarring effects of youth joblessness in Sri Lanka. *Review of Development Economics* 24:1, 269-287. [Crossref]
- German Cubas, Pedro Silos. 2020. SOCIAL INSURANCE AND OCCUPATIONAL MOBILITY. International Economic Review 61:1, 219-240. [Crossref]
- 14. Tyler Ransom, John V. Winters. 2020. Do Foreigners Crowd Natives out of STEM Degrees and Occupations? Evidence from the US Immigration Act of 1990. *ILR Review* 120, 001979391989455. [Crossref]
- 15. Sieuwerd Gaastra. 2020. Personal Income Taxation and College Major Choice: A Case Study of the 1986 Tax Reform Act. *Public Finance Review* **48**:1, 3-42. [Crossref]
- Daiji Kawaguchi, Ayako Kondo. 2020. THE EFFECTS OF GRADUATING FROM COLLEGE DURING A RECESSION ON LIVING STANDARDS. *Economic Inquiry* 58:1, 283-293. [Crossref]
- 17. Terry-Ann Craigie. 2020. BAN THE BOX, CONVICTIONS, AND PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT. *Economic Inquiry* 58:1, 425-445. [Crossref]
- Hong Ru, Endong Yang, Kunru Zou. 2020. What Do We Learn from SARS-CoV-1 to SARS-CoV-2: Evidence from Global Stock Markets. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]

- Joan Monras. 2019. Immigration and Wage Dynamics: Evidence from the Mexican Peso Crisis. Journal of Political Economy. [Crossref]
- 20. Maurizio Franzini, Michele Raitano. 2019. Earnings inequality and workers' skills in Italy. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics* 51, 215-224. [Crossref]
- Michele Raitano, Marta Fana. 2019. Labour market deregulation and workers' outcomes at the beginning of the career: Evidence from Italy. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics* 51, 301-310. [Crossref]
- 22. Dorothea Kübler, Julia Schmid, Robert Stüber. 2019. Take Your Time to Grow: A Field Experiment on the Hiring of Youths. *German Economic Review* 20:4. . [Crossref]
- 23. Fátima Suleman, Maria da Conceição Figueiredo. 2019. Entering the labour market in the context of higher education reform and economic recession: young bachelor and master graduates in Portugal. *Journal of Youth Studies* 19, 1-22. [Crossref]
- 24. Yong Kim. 2019. Skill Accumulation in the Search Unemployment Model. *Global Economic Review* 48:4, 440-462. [Crossref]
- 25. Ken Ueda. 2019. How Do Local Labor Markets and Human Capital Affect Employment Outcomes after Job Loss?. *Southern Economic Journal* 86:2, 548-572. [Crossref]
- 26. Karthik Krishnan, Pinshuo Wang. 2019. The Cost of Financing Education: Can Student Debt Hinder Entrepreneurship?. *Management Science* 65:10, 4522-4554. [Crossref]
- 27. Achim Schmillen. 2019. Vocational education, occupational choice and unemployment over the professional career. *Empirical Economics* 57:3, 805-838. [Crossref]
- 28. JOSÉ MUSTRE-DEL-RÍO. 2019. Job Duration over the Business Cycle. Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 51:6, 1691-1711. [Crossref]
- Eliza Forsythe. 2019. Careers within Firms: Occupational Mobility Over the Lifecycle. *LABOUR* 33:3, 241-277. [Crossref]
- 30. W. Bentley MacLeod, Miguel Urquiola. 2019. Is Education Consumption or Investment? Implications for School Competition. *Annual Review of Economics* 11:1, 563-589. [Crossref]
- 31. Yunling Liang, Li Yu. 2019. Human capital and the re-employment of retrenchment labor in urban China. *Review of Development Economics* 23:3, 1432-1458. [Crossref]
- 32. Steve Bradley, Giuseppe Migali. 2019. The effects of the 2006 tuition fee reform and the Great Recession on university student dropout behaviour in the UK. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 164, 331-356. [Crossref]
- 33. Andrew Clarke, Ana Ferrer, Mikal Skuterud. 2019. A Comparative Analysis of the Labor Market Performance of University-Educated Immigrants in Australia, Canada, and the United States: Does Policy Matter?. *Journal of Labor Economics* 37:S2, S443-S490. [Crossref]
- 34. Shimeng Liu, Weizeng Sun, John V. Winters. 2019. UP IN STEM, DOWN IN BUSINESS: CHANGING COLLEGE MAJOR DECISIONS WITH THE GREAT RECESSION. Contemporary Economic Policy 37:3, 476-491. [Crossref]
- 35. Norma J. Perez-Brena, Samantha Sang, Sally I-Chun Kuo, Sue A. Rodríguez De Jesús, Kimberly A. Updegraff, Adriana J. Umaña-Taylor, Susan M. McHale. 2019. Patterns of Academic Risk and Resilience: Post–High School Educational Pathways in the Context of the 2007 Economic Recession. *Journal of Adolescent Research* 34:4, 351-380. [Crossref]
- 36. Sofoklis Goulas, Rigissa Megalokonomou. 2019. Which degrees do students prefer during recessions?. *Empirical Economics* 56:6, 2093-2125. [Crossref]

- Yotam Margalit. 2019. Political Responses to Economic Shocks. *Annual Review of Political Science* 22:1, 277-295. [Crossref]
- Emmanuel Saez, Benjamin Schoefer, David Seim. 2019. Payroll Taxes, Firm Behavior, and Rent Sharing: Evidence from a Young Workers' Tax Cut in Sweden. *American Economic Review* 109:5, 1717-1763. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 39. Rebecca Lessem, Kayuna Nakajima. 2019. Immigrant wages and recessions: Evidence from undocumented Mexicans. *European Economic Review* 114, 92-115. [Crossref]
- 40. Henry S. Farber, Chris M. Herbst, Dan Silverman, Till von Wachter. 2019. Whom Do Employers Want? The Role of Recent Employment and Unemployment Status and Age. *Journal* of Labor Economics 37:2, 323-349. [Crossref]
- Roberto Leombruni, Tiziano Razzolini, Francesco Serti. 2019. Macroeconomic Conditions at Entry and Injury Risk in the Workplace. *The Scandinavian Journal of Economics* 121:2, 783-807. [Crossref]
- 42. Serge Atherwood, Corey S. Sparks. 2019. Early-career trajectories of young workers in the U.S. in the context of the 2008–09 recession: The effect of labor market entry timing. *PLOS ONE* 14:3, e0214234. [Crossref]
- 43. Matthew Curry. 2019. The Great Recession and shifting patterns of college effects for young men. *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility* **59**, 34-45. [Crossref]
- 44. Andrey Fradkin, Frédéric Panier, Ilan Tojerow. 2019. Blame the Parents? How Parental Unemployment Affects Labor Supply and Job Quality for Young Adults. *Journal of Labor Economics* 37:1, 35-100. [Crossref]
- 45. Hannes Schwandt, Till von Wachter. 2019. Unlucky Cohorts: Estimating the Long-Term Effects of Entering the Labor Market in a Recession in Large Cross-Sectional Data Sets. *Journal of Labor Economics* **37**:S1, S161-S198. [Crossref]
- 46. Roberto Mosquera. 2019. A Blessing or a Curse? The Long-Term Effect of Resource Booms on Human Capital and Living Conditions. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [Crossref]
- 47. Erin McGuire. 2019. The Long-Run Influence of Local Economic Conditions on Financial Decision-Making. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 48. Baptiste Massenot, Giang Nghiem. 2019. Depressed Demand and Supply. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 49. Eduard Brüll. 2019. Restrictions of Fixed Term Employment Contracts: Evidence from a German Reform. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 50. Eleanor Jawon Choi, Jaewoo Choi, Hyelim Son. 2019. The Long-Term Effects of Labor Market Entry in a Recession: Evidence from the Asian Financial Crisis. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- Joseph Han. 2018. Long-Term Effects of Labour Market Entry Conditions: The Case of Korea. Global Economic Review 47:4, 434-463. [Crossref]
- 52. Adriana Lleras-Muney. 2018. Mind the Gap: A Review of The Health Gap: The Challenge of an Unequal World by Sir Michael Marmot. *Journal of Economic Literature* 56:3, 1080-1101. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 53. Roger E. A. Farmer. 2018. The End of Alchemy by Mervyn King: A Review Essay. *Journal of Economic Literature* 56:3, 1102-1118. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 54. Wiljan van den Berge. 2018. Bad start, bad match? The early career effects of graduating in a recession for vocational and academic graduates. *Labour Economics* 53, 75-96. [Crossref]

- 55. Scott R. Baker. 2018. Debt and the Response to Household Income Shocks: Validation and Application of Linked Financial Account Data. *Journal of Political Economy* 126:4, 1504-1557. [Crossref]
- 56. Brad Hershbein, Lisa B. Kahn. 2018. Do Recessions Accelerate Routine-Biased Technological Change? Evidence from Vacancy Postings. *American Economic Review* 108:7, 1737-1772. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 57. Mark Borgschulte, Paco Martorell. 2018. Paying to Avoid Recession: Using Reenlistment to Estimate the Cost of Unemployment. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 10:3, 101-127. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- Venke Furre Haaland. 2018. Ability Matters: Effects of Youth Labor-Market Opportunities on Long-Term Labor-Market Outcomes. *The Scandinavian Journal of Economics* 120:3, 794-825. [Crossref]
- 59. Brian Bell, Anna Bindler, Stephen Machin. 2018. Crime Scars: Recessions and the Making of Career Criminals. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 100:3, 392-404. [Crossref]
- 60. John C. Haltiwanger, Henry R. Hyatt, Lisa B. Kahn, Erika McEntarfer. 2018. Cyclical Job Ladders by Firm Size and Firm Wage. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics* 10:2, 52-85. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 61. Miriam Gensowski. 2018. Personality, IQ, and lifetime earnings. *Labour Economics* **51**, 170-183. [Crossref]
- 62. Daniel Fernández-Kranz, Núria Rodríguez-Planas. 2018. The Perfect Storm: Graduating during a Recession in a Segmented Labor Market. *ILR Review* **71**:2, 492-524. [Crossref]
- 63. Philipp M. Lersch, Marita Jacob, Karsten Hank. 2018. Long-term Health Consequences of Adverse Labor Market Conditions at Time of Leaving Education: Evidence from West German Panel Data. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* **59**:1, 151-168. [Crossref]
- 64. Jie Gong, Ang Sun, Zhichao Wei. 2018. Choosing the Pond: On-the-Job Experience and Long-Run Career Outcomes. *Management Science* 64:2, 860-872. [Crossref]
- 65. Akiomi Kitagawa, Souichi Ohta, Hiroshi Teruyama. Testing the Dual Structure of the Japanese Labor Market 119-168. [Crossref]
- 66. Carolina Arteaga. 2018. The effect of human capital on earnings: Evidence from a reform at Colombia's top university. *Journal of Public Economics* 157, 212-225. [Crossref]
- 67. Fulya Ersoy. 2018. Reshaping Aspirations: The Effects of the Great Recession on College Major Choice. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 68. Julie L. Hotchkiss, Robert Elijah Moore. 2018. Some Like it Hot: Assessing Longer-Term Labor Market Benefits from a High-Pressure Economy. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 69. Congshan Zhang, John M. de Figueiredo. 2018. Are Recessions Good for Government Hires? The Effect of Unemployment on Public Sector Human Capital. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 70. Eliza Forsythe. 2018. Careers within Firms: Occupational Mobility Over the Life Cycle. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 71. Francesco Fasani, Tommaso Frattini, Luigi Minale. 2018. (The Struggle for) Refugee Integration into the Labour Market: Evidence from Europe. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 72. Vaishali Zambre. 2018. The Gender Gap in Wage Expectations: Do Young Women Trade Off Higher Wages for Lower Wage Risk?. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]

- 73. Alena Bicakova, Guido Matias Cortes, Jacopo Mazza. 2018. Caught in the Cycle: Economic Conditions at Enrollment and Labor Market Outcomes of College Graduates. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 74. Rebecca Fraenkel. 2018. Local Labor Markets and Job Match Quality: Teachers. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 75. Bernhard Schmidpeter. 2018. The Long-Term Labor Market Effects of Parental Unemployment. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 76. Namju Kim. 2018. ##### #### ##(The Analysis of Hysteresis in Youth Unemployment). SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 77. Julie Hotchkiss, Robert Moore. 2018. Some Like It Hot: Assessing Longer-Term Labor Market Benefits from a High-Pressure Economy. *Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, Working Papers* 33. . [Crossref]
- 78. Brantly Callaway, Pedro H. C. Sant'Anna. 2018. Difference-in-Differences With Multiple Time Periods and an Application on the Minimum Wage and Employment. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 79. Samuel Nocito. 2018. The Effect of a University Degree in English on International Labour Mobility. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 80. Johan Hombert, Adrien Matray. 2018. The Long-Term Consequences of the Tech Bubble on Skilled Workers' Earnings. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- Marco Di Cataldo, Andrés Rodríguez-Pose. 2017. What drives employment growth and social inclusion in the regions of the European Union?. *Regional Studies* 51:12, 1840-1859. [Crossref]
- James M. Raymo, Akihisa Shibata. 2017. Unemployment, Nonstandard Employment, and Fertility: Insights From Japan's "Lost 20 Years". *Demography* 54:6, 2301-2329. [Crossref]
- 83. Brian Clark, Clément Joubert, Arnaud Maurel. 2017. The career prospects of overeducated Americans. *IZA Journal of Labor Economics* 6:1. . [Crossref]
- 84. Ernest Boffy-Ramirez. 2017. The heterogeneous impacts of business cycles on educational attainment. *Education Economics* 25:6, 554-561. [Crossref]
- 85. Francisco Rowe, Jonathan Corcoran, Martin Bell. 2017. The returns to migration and human capital accumulation pathways: non-metropolitan youth in the school-to-work transition. *The Annals of Regional Science* **59**:3, 819-845. [Crossref]
- 86. Liudmila Antonova, Tabea Bucher-Koenen, Fabrizio Mazzonna. 2017. Long-term health consequences of recessions during working years. Social Science & Medicine 187, 134-143. [Crossref]
- 87. Marinko ŠKARE, Damian ŠKARE. 2017. IS THE GREAT DECOUPLING REAL?. Journal of Business Economics and Management 18:3, 451-467. [Crossref]
- 88. Mike Vuolo, Christopher Uggen, Sarah Lageson. Race, Recession, and Social Closure in the Low-Wage Labor Market: Experimental and Observational Evidence 141-183. [Crossref]
- Johanna Catherine Maclean, Terrence D. Hill. 2017. Economic Conditions at School Leaving and Sleep Patterns Across the Life Course. *The B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy* 17:2. . [Crossref]
- 90. John M. Nunley, Adam Pugh, Nicholas Romero, R. Alan Seals. 2017. The Effects of Unemployment and Underemployment on Employment Opportunities. *ILR Review* 70:3, 642-669. [Crossref]

- 91. ANDREAS FAGERENG, CHARLES GOTTLIEB, LUIGI GUISO. 2017. Asset Market Participation and Portfolio Choice over the Life-Cycle. *The Journal of Finance* 72:2, 705-750. [Crossref]
- Ellen Ann Ensher, Troy R. Nielson, Wesley Kading. 2017. Causes of Career-Defining Moments. Journal of Career Development 44:2, 110-126. [Crossref]
- 93. Effrosyni Adamopoulou, Giulia Martina Tanzi. 2017. Academic Drop-Out and the Great Recession. *Journal of Human Capital* 11:1, 35-71. [Crossref]
- 94. Jeffrey Hemmeter, David R. Mann, David C. Wittenburg. 2017. Supplemental Security Income and the Transition to Adulthood in the United States: State Variations in Outcomes Following the Age-18 Redetermination. *Social Service Review* 91:1, 106-133. [Crossref]
- Anna Godøy. 2017. Local labor markets and earnings of refugee immigrants. *Empirical Economics* 52:1, 31-58. [Crossref]
- 96. SAMULI KNÜPFER, ELIAS RANTAPUSKA, MATTI SARVIMÄKI. 2017. Formative Experiences and Portfolio Choice: Evidence from the Finnish Great Depression. *The Journal of Finance* 72:1, 133-166. [Crossref]
- Petr Houdek, Petr Koblovský, Jan Plaček, Luboš Smrčka. 2017. Causality Illusion and Overconfidence in Predicting (Quasi)Stochastic Financial Events. *Acta Oeconomica Pragensia* 25:1, 51-63. [Crossref]
- 98. Scott Zoltok. Youth, Crisis, and Learning 181-195. [Crossref]
- 99. Jenny Williams, Jan C. van Ours. 2017. Early Cannabis Use and School to Work Transition of Young Men. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 100. Ramana Nanda, Sampsa Samila, Olav Sorenson. 2017. The Persistent Effect of Initial Success: Evidence from Venture Capital. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 101. Terry-Ann Craigie. 2017. Ban the Box, Convictions, and Public Sector Employment. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 102. Daniel Erdsiek. 2017. Dynamics of Overqualification: Evidence from the Early Career of Graduates. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 103. Johan P Larsson, Karl Wennberg, Johan Wiklund, Mike Wright. 2017. Location Choices of Graduate Entrepreneurs. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 104. Ruixue Jia, Li Hongbin. 2017. Access to Elite Education, Wage Premium, and Social Mobility: Evidence from China's College Entrance Exam. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 105. Miika PPPllysaho. 2017. The Short- and Long-Term Effects of Graduating During a Recession: Evidence from Finland. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 106. Andrew Ellul, Marco Pagano, Annalisa Scognamiglio. 2017. Career Risk and Market Discipline in Asset Management. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 107. Richard W. Johnson, Karen E. Smith, Damir Cosic, Claire Xiaozhi Wang. 2017. Retirement Prospects for the Millennials: What Is the Early Prognosis?. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 108. Titus J. Galama, Adriana Lleras-Muney, Hans van Kippersluis. 2017. The Effect of Education on Health and Mortality: A Review of Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Evidence. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 109. Yaa Akosa Antwi, Catherine Maclean. 2017. State Health Insurance Mandates and Labor Market Outcomes: New Evidence on Old Questions. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 110. Michael Dambra, Matthew Gustafson, Kevin J Pisciotta. 2017. Post-IPO Market Returns and the Benefits to Going Public. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]

- 111. Arnaldo Mont'Alvao, Monica K. Johnson. The Great Recession and Young Adults' Labor Market Outcomes around the World 1-13. [Crossref]
- 112. Maria Di Blasi, Crispino Tosto, Alessandro Marfia, Paola Cavani, Cecilia Giordano. 2016. Transition to adulthood and recession: a qualitative study. *Journal of Youth Studies* 19:8, 1043-1060. [Crossref]
- 113. Richard M. Romano, James C. Palmer. 2016. The Community College and the Business Cycle. Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning 48:5, 52-57. [Crossref]
- 114. Adam Stevenson. 2016. The returns to quality in graduate education. *Education Economics* 24:5, 445-464. [Crossref]
- 115. David Atkin. 2016. Endogenous Skill Acquisition and Export Manufacturing in Mexico. American Economic Review 106:8, 2046-2085. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 116. Alicia Sasser Modestino, Daniel Shoag, Joshua Ballance. 2016. Downskilling: changes in employer skill requirements over the business cycle. *Labour Economics* **41**, 333-347. [Crossref]
- 117. Briana Sell Stenard, Henry Sauermann. 2016. Educational Mismatch, Work Outcomes, and Entry Into Entrepreneurship. *Organization Science* **27**:4, 801-824. [Crossref]
- 118. Beth Redbird, David B. Grusky. 2016. Distributional Effects of the Great Recession: Where Has All the Sociology Gone?. *Annual Review of Sociology* **42**:1, 185-215. [Crossref]
- Michela Braga, Marco Paccagnella, Michele Pellizzari. 2016. The Impact of College Teaching on Students' Academic and Labor Market Outcomes. *Journal of Labor Economics* 34:3, 781-822. [Crossref]
- 120. Kai Liu, Kjell G. Salvanes, Erik Ø. Sørensen. 2016. Good skills in bad times: Cyclical skill mismatch and the long-term effects of graduating in a recession. *European Economic Review* 84, 3-17. [Crossref]
- 121. Mike Vuolo, Jeylan T. Mortimer, Jeremy Staff. 2016. The value of educational degrees in turbulent economic times: Evidence from the Youth Development Study. *Social Science Research* 57, 233-252. [Crossref]
- 122. Anders Stenberg, Olle Westerlund. 2016. Flexibility at a cost Should governments stimulate tertiary education for adults?. *The Journal of the Economics of Ageing* 7, 69-86. [Crossref]
- 123. Pauline Leonard, Susan Halford, Katie Bruce. 2016. 'The New Degree?' Constructing Internships in the Third Sector. *Sociology* **50**:2, 383-399. [Crossref]
- 124. Xiaoying Chen, Jasmine Yur-Austin. 2016. College challenge to ensure "timely graduation": Understanding college students' mindsets during the financial crisis. *Journal of Education for Business* 91:1, 32-37. [Crossref]
- J.G. Altonji, P. Arcidiacono, A. Maurel. The Analysis of Field Choice in College and Graduate School 305-396. [Crossref]
- 126. A. Mian, A. Sufi. Who Bears the Cost of Recessions? The Role of House Prices and Household Debt 255-296. [Crossref]
- 127. Paul Beaudry, David A. Green, Benjamin M. Sand. 2016. The Great Reversal in the Demand for Skill and Cognitive Tasks. *Journal of Labor Economics* 34:S1, S199-S247. [Crossref]
- 128. Joseph G. Altonji, Lisa B. Kahn, Jamin D. Speer. 2016. Cashier or Consultant? Entry Labor Market Conditions, Field of Study, and Career Success. *Journal of Labor Economics* 34:S1, S361– S401. [Crossref]
- 129. Jeffrey A. Groen. 2016. The Impact of Labor Demand on Time to the Doctorate. Education Finance and Policy 11:1, 43-69. [Crossref]

- 130. Jamin D. Speer. 2016. Wages, Hours, and the School-to-Work Transition: The Consequences of Leaving School in a Recession for Less-Educated Men. *The B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis* & Policy 16:1, 97-124. [Crossref]
- 131. Brad J. Hershbein, Lisa B. Kahn. 2016. Do Recessions Accelerate Routine-Biased Technological Change? Evidence from Vacancy Postings. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 132. Jack Mara. 2016. Social Animal House: The Economic and Academic Consequences of Fraternity Membership. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 133. Alicia Sasser Modestino, Daniel Shoag, Joshua Ballance. 2016. Downskilling: Changes in Employer Skill Requirements Over the Business Cycle. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 134. Pooya Molavi. 2016. Adverse Selection in the Labor Market and Slow Recoveries. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 135. Mark R. Rosenzweig. 2016. External Validity in a Stochastic World. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 136. Michael B. Clement, Kelvin Law. 2016. Labor Market Dynamics and Analyst Ability. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 137. Nathanael Vellekoop. 2016. The Impact of Long-Run Macroeconomic Experiences on Personality. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 138. Chris Bidner, Ben Sand. 2016. Job Prospects and Pay Gaps: Theory and Evidence on the Gender Gap from U.S. Cities. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 139. Sara Moreira. 2016. Firm Dynamics, Persistent Effects of Entry Conditions, and Business Cycles. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 140. Isaac Hacamo, Kristoph Kleiner. 2016. Finding Success in Tragedy: Forced Entrepreneurs after Corporate Bankruptcy. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 141. Johanna Catherine Maclean, Terrence D. Hill. 2015. Leaving school in an economic downturn and self-esteem across early and middle adulthood. *Labour Economics* 37, 1-12. [Crossref]
- 142. Ayako Kondo. 2015. Differential effects of graduating during a recession across gender and race. IZA Journal of Labor Economics 4:1. . [Crossref]
- 143. Tony Fang, Morley Gunderson. 2015. Vulnerable groups in Canada and labour market exclusion. International Journal of Manpower 36:6, 824-847. [Crossref]
- 144. Raymond Fisman, Pamela Jakiela, Shachar Kariv. 2015. How did distributional preferences change during the Great Recession?. *Journal of Public Economics* **128**, 84-95. [Crossref]
- 145. Sarah Callahan, Anthony LoSasso, Bradley Olson, Christopher Beasley, Stephanie Nisle, Kristina Campagna, Leonard A. Jason. 2015. Income Generation in Recovering Heroin Users: A Comparative Analysis of Legal and Illegal Earnings. *Journal of Offender Rebabilitation* 54:5, 338-349. [Crossref]
- 146. Jeffrey Grogger, Gordon H. Hanson. 2015. Attracting Talent: Location Choices of Foreign-Born PhDs in the United States. *Journal of Labor Economics* 33:S1, S5-S38. [Crossref]
- 147. Chris Benner, Manuel Pastor. 2015. Brother, can you spare some time? Sustaining prosperity and social inclusion in America's metropolitan regions. *Urban Studies* **52**:7, 1339-1356. [Crossref]
- 148. Martin Ellison, Thomas J. Sargent. 2015. Welfare Cost of Business Cycles with Idiosyncratic Consumption Risk and a Preference for Robustness. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics* 7:2, 40-57. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]

- 149. David M. Cutler, Wei Huang, Adriana Lleras-Muney. 2015. When does education matter? The protective effect of education for cohorts graduating in bad times. *Social Science & Medicine* 127, 63-73. [Crossref]
- 150. Louis-Philippe Morin. 2015. Cohort size and youth earnings: Evidence from a quasi-experiment. *Labour Economics* 32, 99-111. [Crossref]
- 151. Johanna Catherine Maclean. 2015. The Lasting Effects of Leaving School in an Economic Downturn on Alcohol Use. *ILR Review* 68:1, 120-152. [Crossref]
- 152. Scott R. Baker. 2015. Debt and the Consumption Response to Household Income Shocks. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 153. Barbara Biasi, Michael S. Dahl, Petra Moser. 2015. Career Effects of Mental Health. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 154. Naijia Guo. 2015. The Impact of an Early Career Recession on Schooling and Lifetime Welfare. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 155. Susan N. Houseman, Carolyn Heinrich. 2015. Temporary Help Employment in Recession and Recovery. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 156. Andreas Fagereng, Charles Gottlieb, Luigi Guiso. 2015. Asset Market Participation and Portfolio Choice Over the Life-Cycle. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 157. Effrosyni Adamopoulou, Ezgi Kaya. 2015. Young Adults Living with Their Parents and the Influence of Peers. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 158. Courtney C. Coile, Phillip B. Levine, Robin McKnight. 2014. Recessions, Older Workers, and Longevity: How Long Are Recessions Good for Your Health?. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy* 6:3, 92-119. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 159. Beatrice Brunner, Andreas Kuhn. 2014. The impact of labor market entry conditions on initial job assignment and wages. *Journal of Population Economics* **27**:3, 705-738. [Crossref]
- 160. Lawrence Uren. 2014. Search and the Labour Market. Australian Economic Review 47:2, 269-276. [Crossref]
- 161. Julia Bredtmann, Sebastian Otten. 2014. Getting what (employers think) you're worth. *International Journal of Manpower* 35:3, 291-305. [Crossref]
- 162. Paul Beaudry, David A. Green, Benjamin M. Sand. 2014. The Declining Fortunes of the Young Since 2000. American Economic Review 104:5, 381-386. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 163. Anja K Leist, Philipp Hessel, Mauricio Avendano. 2014. Do economic recessions during early and mid-adulthood influence cognitive function in older age?. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 68:2, 151-158. [Crossref]
- 164. Cristina Cattaneo, Carlo V. Fiorio, Giovanni Peri. 2014. What Happens to the Careers of European Workers When Immigrants 'Take Their Jobs'?. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 165. Annamaria Conti, Fabiana Visentin. 2014. A Revealed Preference Analysis of PhD Studentss Choices Over Employment Outcomes. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 166. Brian Clark, Clement Joubert, Arnaud Maurel. 2014. The Career Prospects of Overeducated Americans. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 167. Effrosyni Adamopoulou, Giulia Tanzi. 2014. Academic Performance and the Great Recession. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 168. Lisa Kahn, Erika McEntarfer. 2014. Employment Cyclicality and Firm Quality. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]

- 169. Joshua C. Pinkston. 2014. The Dynamic Effects of Obesity on the Wages of Young Workers. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 170. Sewon Hur. 2014. The Lost Generation of the Great Recession. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 171. Liudmila Antonova, Tabea Bucher-Koenen, Fabrizio Mazzonna. 2014. Macroeconomic Crunches During Working Years and Health Outcomes Later in Life. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 172. Ronald Bachmann, Peggy Bechara, Anica Kramer, Sylvi Rzepka. 2014. Labour Market Dynamics and Worker Heterogeneity During the Great Recession Evidence from Europe. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 173. Yaa Akosa Antwi, Asako S. Moriya, Kosali Simon. 2013. Effects of Federal Policy to Insure Young Adults: Evidence from the 2010 Affordable Care Act's Dependent-Coverage Mandate. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy* 5:4, 1-28. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 174. Philipp Hessel, Mauricio Avendano. 2013. Are economic recessions at the time of leaving school associated with worse physical functioning in later life?. *Annals of Epidemiology* 23:11, 708-715. [Crossref]
- 175. Philip G White, William G McTeer, James E Curtis. 2013. Academic Attainment and Canadian Intercollegiate Athletics: Temporal Shifts. *Journal for the Study of Sports and Athletes in Education* 7:3, 168-189. [Crossref]
- 176. Ariel Kalil. 2013. Effects of the Great Recession on Child Development. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 650:1, 232-250. [Crossref]
- 177. Junya Hamaaki, Masahiro Hori, Saeko Maeda, Keiko Murata. 2013. How does the first job matter for an individual's career life in Japan?. *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies* 29, 154-169. [Crossref]
- 178. Arnstein Aassve, Elena Cottini, Agnese Vitali. 2013. Youth prospects in a time of economic recession. *Demographic Research* 29, 949-962. [Crossref]
- 179. Matthew T. Johnson. 2013. The impact of business cycle fluctuations on graduate school enrollment. *Economics of Education Review* 34, 122-134. [Crossref]
- 180. Marcus Hagedorn,, Iourii Manovskii. 2013. Job Selection and Wages over the Business Cycle. American Economic Review 103:2, 771-803. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- Brad J. Hershbein. 2013. Worker Signals Among New College Graduates: The Role of Selectivity and GPA. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 182. Jerome Adda, Christian Dustmann, Costas Meghir, Jean-Marc Robin. 2013. Career Progression, Economic Downturns, and Skills. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 183. Samuli Knüpfer, Elias Henrikki Rantapuska, Matti Sarvimäki. 2013. Labor Market Experiences and Portfolio Choice: Evidence from the Finnish Great Depression. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 184. Holger Herz, Dmitry Taubinsky. 2013. Market Experience is a Reference Point in Judgments of Fairness. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 185. David Autor, David Dorn, Gordon H. Hanson, Jae Song. 2013. Trade Adjustment: Worker Level Evidence. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 186. T. M. Tonmoy Islam. 2013. The Long-Run Effect of Entering the Job Market During a Recession Evidence from Cohort Analysis. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]

- 187. Timothy J. Bartik, William Gormley, Shirley Adelstein. 2012. Earnings benefits of Tulsa's pre-K program for different income groups. *Economics of Education Review* **31**:6, 1143-1161. [Crossref]
- 188. Jesse Rothstein. 2012. The Labor Market Four Years into the Crisis: Assessing Structural Explanations. *ILR Review* 65:3, 467-500. [Crossref]
- 189. Antoinette Schoar, Luo Zuo. 2012. Shaped by Booms and Busts: How the Economy Impacts CEO Careers and Management Styles. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 190. Kai Liu, Kjell G. Salvanes, Erik Sorensen. 2012. Good Skills in Bad Times: Cyclical Skill Mismatch and the Long-Term Effects of Graduating in a Recession. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 191. Stefan Legge, Lukas Schmid. 2012. The Role of Rankings, Big Shots, and Random Successes. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 192. Thomas J. Chemmanur, Mine Ertugrul, Karthik Krishnan. 2012. Is it the Investment Bank or the Investment Banker? A Study of the Role of Investment Banker Human Capital in Acquisitions. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 193. Jose Mustre-del-Rio. 2012. Job Duration and the Cleansing and Sullying Effects of Recessions. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 194. Steven J. Davis, Till von Wachter. 2011. Recessions and the Costs of Job Loss. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]
- 195. Michael J. Boehm, Martin Watzinger. 2010. The Allocation of Talent: Evidence from the Market of Economists. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [Crossref]